

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1741 of 2026

Arising out of Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 680/2026

Under Sections 303(2), 334(1) of B.N.S.

Sanjeev Kumar Gupta.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

On behalf of Petitioners : - Sri Gautam Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of State : - Smt. Anju Rani, Ld. A.P.P.

12.05.2026

Case Record produced before the Court. Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Sanjeev Kumar Gupta** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 680/2026 registered u/s. 303(2), 334(1) of B.N.S.**, is moved. The copy of the bail petition has already been served upon the prosecution.

Xerox copy of supplementary case diary has been produced by the Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor which is available on record.

Heard both the sides.

Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that informant namely Raj Kapoor Sah stated that on 11.04.2026 around 09:00 AM, when the informant reached at his shop he saw the goods were scattered. On checking, he was found that the batteries and the locks of three inverters were broken and a lot of copper and brass material were missing. When informant checked the CCTV footage, it became clear that at 02:00 AM in the night, two persons were seen running away with the goods. On the basis of footage, the accused persons were identified as Sanjeev Kumar and Mukesh Kumar. Hence, this case.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence. That no other bail petition anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, earlier. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. That the petitioner is a scarp dealer by profession. That the petitioner had no knowledge that the said articles were allegedly stolen and the same were purchased in the ordinary course of business without any dishonest intention. That the petitioner has wrongly shown as absconding, whereas he is a permanent resident and is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation. That the petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence. That the bailors are ready to stand as sureties. With these submissions it has been prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1741 of 2026

Arising out of Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 680/2026

Under Sections 303(2), 334(1) of B.N.S.

Sanjeev Kumar Gupta.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

Contd.

12.05.2026

Ld. Addl. P.P. on the other hand, opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the case record. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., his name has come up only in confessional statement of co-accused. As per para 03 of the bail petition the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Hence, considering the facts and circumstances of this case, materials available on record as well as the discussions made above this Court is of the opinion to extend the privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Sanjeev Kumar Gupta** is, hereby, allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court within four weeks from the date of communication of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Trial Court and this record be consigned to the Record Room as per Rule.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Madhwendra Singh)
Addl. Sessions Judge -12th,
Muzaffarpur