

In the court of Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur.

MINAPUR P.S. CASE NO.- 237/2020

<i>Sl .No</i>	<i>Date of order of proceeding</i>	<i>Order with the signature of the court</i>	<i>Office action taken with date.</i>
01	08/12/2022	<i>Bail petition on behalf of Mithu Jha @ Kundan Kumar, who is in custody since 01/11/2022 in connection with Minapur P.S. Case No. 237/2020, registered U/ss 399, 386, 411, 120(B)/34 of the I.P.C. , 25(1-b)a, 26,35 of the Arms Act and 17,18,19 and 20 of U.A.P.Act, has been pressed by his learned lawyer, who has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case, petitioner was not apprehended on the spot, nothing incriminating has been recovered from his possession and has been remanded in this case from Hathauri P.S. Case No. 172/2019 on the basis of confessional statement of other co-accused. Accordingly, learned lawyer has prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.</i> <i>Prayer of bail has been opposed.</i> <i>Having heard both sides, I have perused the record as well as the case diary and on perusal of the same, it is evident that the petitioner has been named as an accused in this case, which has been registered on the basis of self statement of S.H.O. of Minapur P.S., who has alleged that on 28/06/2020 at about 10.00 P.M. , he got secret information about assemblage of some members of Maoist at Gangati Chauk for extorting levy. To verify the fact, informant alongwith other police</i>	

Contd. 08/12/2022	party reached there and seeing the police party, some miscreants started fleeing away but three of them were apprehended and rest managed to escape. The apprehended miscreants disclosed their names as Raghu Rai @ Raghu Rai, Pramod Kumar and Sanjeev Kuamar. They divulged the name of their associates, who managed to escape as Vijay Paswan @ Karn, Sukhari Mahto @ Ravindra Mahto, Ram Babu Paswan @ Dheeraj Jee @ Rajak Jee @ Neta Jee @ Vidhayak Jee, Surendra Ram @ Jayant @ Mohan Ram and Alok Ram @ Vipul. They further divulged that they used to extort levy on the instructions of Lal babu Sahni @ Bhaskar, Uma Shankar Sahni and Munchun Sah @ Raushan Jee, who are presently in jail custody. In presence of two independent witnesses, search was made and from the possession of apprehended miscreants, country-made pistols, cartridges, naxali purchas as well as cash of Rs. 20,000/- were recovered, on being interrogated, Sanjeev Kumar disclosed that the recovered cash is of levy. Accordingly, seizure-lists were prepared. The record reveals that petitioner is named as accused in this case and as alleged, he is an active member of some naxal organization, who remained indulged in distruprive activities. The record further reveals that the police has submitted charge-sheet and subsequent supplementary charge-sheet against the co-accused u/s 399, 386, 411, 120(B)/34 of the I.P.C., 25(1-B)a, 26,35 of the Arms Act and 17,18,19 and 20 of U.A.P. Act. Supplementary case diary reflects about the criminal antecedent of the petitioner. On careful perusal of the materials in the case diary as well as in the supplementary case diary, I am of the opinion	
------------------------------	---	--

	<i>Contd.</i> <i>08/12/2022</i>	<i>that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the accusation against the petitioner is prima-facie true. It is relevant to note here that Section 43-D(5) of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 puts a rider that such accused shall not be enlarged on bail.</i> <i>Now taking note of these facts alongwith the nature of accusation and circumstances on record, I do not find it judicious to enlarge the petitioner on bail at all and in the result, his prayer for the same stands rejected.</i> <i>(Dictated)</i> <i>Sd/-M.K Sinha.</i> <i>Special Judge, Muz.</i>	
--	--	---	--