

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-V, Muzaffarpur
Regular Bail Petition No. 481/2026
(Arising out of Saraiya P. S. Case No. 301/2025)

In the matter of:

1. Md. Mannan S/o - Md. Iliyas, aged about 22 yrs,
Resident of Village- Chikwa Tola, Ashapatti, P. S.- Sahebganj, District -
Muzaffarpur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

:

..... Opposite Party

Appearance(s)

Counsel for the Petitioner(s)

: Vikash Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the State

: Sadhusaran Prasad, Addl. PP.

Present: Suman Kumar Divakar

Bihar Superior Judicial Service

Order

22-05-2026

1. The Petitioner is praying for regular bail in connection with Saraiya P. S. Case No. 301/2025 registered for the offences under Section 309(6) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short). He has been in judicial custody since 26.02.2026.
2. Briefly stated, the allegation against the petitioner is committing robbery in the shop of the Informant wherein alleged that several things including large amount of cash looted. The FIR was lodged against the unknown with caption 'Blue Apache par sawar tin apradh karmi'. The name of the petitioner has surfaced during the course of the investigation.
3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has four criminal antecedent. He has been remanded in this case from Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 584/2024. His name has surfaced on the confessional statement of co-accused Kunal Kumar which has no evidentiary value. In this backdrop, he prays for regular bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer so made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. Heard the parties. Considered the submissions. Perused the material on record including case diary. It appears from the record that name of the petitioner has surfaced on the confessional statement of Kunal Kumar. Further, it appears from the record that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent. He has criminal antecedent of eight cases but he has declared only about four cases. Paragraph '127' of the case diary demonstrates that he is accused in (i) Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 584/23 dated 31.12.2023 under Section 392 IPC, (ii) Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 11/24 dated 06.01.2024 under Section 392 IPC, (iii) Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 18/24 dated 12.01.2024 under Section 414 IPC and 25(1-b)a/26/35 Arms Act, (iv) Kathaiya P. S. Case No. 09/19 under Section 461, 479, 411, 414 IPC, (v) Kudhani P. S. Case No. 71/25 under Section 111/309(4) BNS, (vi) Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 11/24 under Section 392 IPC, (vii) Sahebganj P. S. Case No. 584/23 dated 31.12.2023 under Section 392 IPC and (viii) Maniyari P. S. Case No. 51/19 under Section 414 IPC and 25(1-b)a/26/35 Arms Act.
6. In view of above and further, considering the nature and gravity of offence coupled with multiple criminal antecedent of similar nature being carried by the petitioner projecting him to be a habitual offender, I am not inclined to enlarge him on bail. In the result, the present petition stands **dismissed**.

(Suman Kumar Divakar)
Additional Sessions Judge-V
Muzaffarpur

Date of Judgement/Order	22.05.2026
Date of reserving Judgement/Order	22.05.2026
Uploading Date	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	Bambam Kumar Jha, Stenographer