

Dr. Kishor Kunal, District & Addl. Sessions Judge- 14th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1356/2026

Bablu Kumar @ Indrajit Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

ORDER

17.04.2026 An application for anticipatory bail dated 01.04.2026, along with vakalatnama and certified copies of relevant documents, has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner, namely *Babalu Kumar @ Indrajit Kumar*, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 112/2026 dated 25.02.2026, registered under Sections 87 and 140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, Smt. Richa Smriti, and the learned Chief Prosecutor, Shri Rajesh Kumar, representing the State, are present.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant submitted a written application stating that the marriage of her sister was scheduled for 25.02.2026. On the same day, at about 05:00 A.M., the informant woke up and found that her sister was missing from her room. Upon inquiry, villagers informed her that Bablu Kumar (son of Indradev Ram), Raviranjana Kumar (son of Shabir Paswan), and Bhadrul Kumar (son of unknown) had allegedly kidnapped her sister with wrongful intent. It is further alleged that when the informant visited the house of Bablu Kumar and questioned his parents, they abused her and threatened that her sister would be killed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to ulterior motives. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and has not previously filed any bail application. Learned counsel contended that the allegations are misconceived and that the provisions of Sections 87 and 140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita are not attracted in the present case, as the alleged victim voluntarily left her home and solemnized marriage with the petitioner of her own free will. It is also submitted that the learned court of ACJM 1st (West), upon considering the statement of the victim, has already released her in favour of her husband (the petitioner). The petitioner undertakes to abide by all conditions and directions imposed by the Court and assures his cooperation during the trial. It is also submitted that there is no likelihood of absconding and that he has local sureties ready to furnish bail bonds.

On the other hand, the learned Chief Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. Upon consideration, it appears that the victim, Anjali Kumari, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, has not supported the allegations made in the FIR. She is present before the Court today, has marked her attendance, and has stated that she was a major at the time of the alleged occurrence. She has further stated that she voluntarily solemnized marriage with the petitioner and was not kidnapped, but had gone with him of her own free will.

Dr. Kishor Kunal, District & Addl. Sessions Judge- 14th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1356/2026

Bablu Kumar @ Indrajit Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.-

State of Bihar.....O.P.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

Let the petitioner, namely *Babalu Kumar @ Indrajit Kumar*, be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from the date of this order before the learned Trial Court, upon furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the said Court, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Dictated)



Addl. Sessions Judge 14th,
Muzaffarpur.

Sir
cdy 2 ork
sent vide
mem
37 dt
17-4-26