

The court of 17th Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur
A. B. P. No. 1368 / 2026

In the matter Of :

1. Vinay Singh S/O Fekra Singh @ Fakir Singh
R/O Village. - Kanta Pirauchha,
P.S. Benibad, Distt. Muzaffapur. ---- Petitioner.
- Vs
- The State of Bihar ---- Respondent
- Crl. Antecedent : NIL (para-3 of bail application)

(In connection with Benibad P.S. Case No. 16/2026)

Counsel for the petitioner : Mr. Priya Ranjan, Ld. Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Dharmendra Kr. Chaudhary Ld. A. P. P.

ORDER

Date : 11/05/2026

1. The above named petitioner seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Beni-
bad P.S. Case No. 16/2026** for offences punishable U/S 316(5), 318(4) BNS & 7 E.C. Act, 1955.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is innocent and he had committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner had earlier filed no bail application before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court.
3. The prosecution case in short as per FIR that former PACCS Chairman Vinay Kumar Singh and former secretary Jang Bahadur of Kanta Piraucha Uttri PACCS had misappropriated 1339.76 qtl. Of food grains and son Hudumdeo Singh PDS dealer namely Late Vijay Singh had misappropriated 180.21 qtl. Of food grains of PDS issued for February and March 2021 and the data of POS Machine was not matching with physical stock. Entire allegations as alleged in this case against the petitioner are false and fabricated. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the peti-

tioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as per para – 3 of bail application. Hence, prayer was made to grant pre-arrest bail.

4. Per contra, the Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the bail plea.
5. Rival contentions of the parties were heard and, perused the record. From perusal of the record it appears that the petitioner had already deposited Rs. 3 lacs as per previous order dated 08/04/2026 and it has further been undertaken to deposit Rs. 4 lacs within next 4 months subject to outcome of the trial. As said before the period for transaction relates to Covid – 19 pandemic in the year 2021. It has been submitted that during Covid-19 pandemic, wide scale distribution was made observing Covid protocol. There is no corresponding allegation or proof any black-marketing. FIR has been lodged after five years on 06.02.2026.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners do deserve the privilege of pre-arrest / Anticipatory Bail and as such, they are directed to be released on furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 2,000,00/- with two sureties of like amount, subject to condition that he will deposit Rs. 4 lacs further in view of the undertaking made by the accused before this court. Let the copy of this order be communicated to the concerned Court of Ld. S.D.J.M. Muzaffarpur(East) for information and needful.

Sd/-

Anil K. Thakur

17th Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge,

The Court of 17th Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur

Memo No..... dated

Copy forwarded to

1. Ld. S.D.J.M. Muzaffarpur(East) for information and needful.

D&ASJ-17,MZP