

COURT OF 3rd DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR
{A.B.P. No. 1376/2026}
(In connection with Piyar P.S. Case No. 46/2026)

Page 1 of 2

Manjeet Ram, aged about- 26 years, S/o- Ramun Ram,
R/o Village- Kaila Bakhari, P.S.- Piyar, Distt.- MuzaffarpurPetitioner.
Vs
State of Bihar..... Opposite Party.

ORDER

01.06.2026. Instant application for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of petitioner named above who apprehends his arrest in connection with Piyar P.S. Case No. 46/2026 registered for the offences punishable U/s. 105, 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard Mr. Umesh Prasad Singh, learned advocate for the petitioner as well as Ms. Sangita Sahi, learned Addl. P.P. for the State.

Allegation against the petitioner, in brief, is that while informant's daughter was experiencing pain at about 2:00 p.m. on 12.03.2026, co-accused Phool Kumari Devi came and she after examining informant's daughter called this petitioner and both of them in spite of informant's objection applied force upon informant's daughter and got delivered a baby. However, informant's daughter started bleeding and experiencing severe pain in her abdomen and so, petitioner in his vehicle took informant's daughter to Ashish Care wherefrom she was referred to S.K.M.C.H., Muzaffarpur. However, she died in the way.

Learned advocate for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and besides this case, he is also accused in Piyar P.S. Case No. 155/2018 and no bail application has been filed earlier on his behalf before either this court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It has been also submitted that petitioner had no direct hand in the death of victim rather he was called by the rural lady pregnancy expert. Petitioner was not aware of the future outcome of his act and he acted his best in order to provide relief to the said victim. His act does not come within the purview of culpable homicide. Hence, he may be granted anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

COURT OF 3rd DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR
{A.B.P. No. 1376/2026}
(In connection with Piyar P.S. Case No. 46/2026)

Page 2 of 2

Contd.....

Perused the certified copy of F.I.R., copy of case diary.

01.06.2026

Having heard learned advocates from both sides and perused the documents mentioned above, this court finds that allegation against the petitioner is very serious which finds corroboration from statements of witnesses during investigation as mentioned in para 5, 6 and 7 of the case diary.

So, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R. and other facts discussed above, this court is not inclined to grant petitioner anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, his prayer for bail is hereby **rejected**.

Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the court of A.C.J.M. 10th, Muzaffarpur (East) information & needful.

Dictated.
Sd/-
(Awdesh Kumar)
3rd Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur.

Date of Judgment/order	01.06.2026
Date of Reserving for Judgment/order	
Date of Uploading of order	02.06.2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer