

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-V, Muzaffarpur
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1257/2026
(Arising out of Paroo P. S. Case No. 588/2025)

In the matter of:

1. Sitaram Sahni S/o - Late Lakhan Sahani, aged about 53 yrs,
Resident of Village - Suhagpur, P. S.- Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar : Opposite Party

Appearance(s)

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Treta Kumar Dubey, Advocate
: Madan Mohan Chaudhari, Advocate
: Manoranjan Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the State : Sadhusaran Prasad, Addl. PP.
Present: Suman Kumar Divakar
Bihar Superior Judicial Service

Order

06-05-2026

1. The Petitioner is praying for anticipatory bail in connection with Paroo P. S. Case No. 588/2025 registered for the offences under Section 103(1), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short) and 27 Arms Act, 1959.
2. Briefly stated, the Petitioner carries an allegation of causing murder of Ashok Sahani (since deceased) along with co-accused persons. Furthermore, in the same transaction of the occurrence, Guddu Sahani was also shot and became injured. Total thirteen persons are named in the FIR including the present petitioner.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that he is an innocent. He has not committed any offence as alleged. The entire allegations are false and fabricated. There is no eye-witness to the occurrence. He has no criminal antecedent. In this backdrop, he prays for anticipatory bail.
4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer so made on behalf of the Petitioner.

5. Heard the parties. Considered the submissions. Perused the material on record including case diary. It appears from the FIR that the Petitioner is named therein. There is allegation against him of participating along with co-accused persons. The police in its supervision report has found the case true against the present Petitioner (please see paragraph '61' of the case diary). The prayer for regular bail and anticipatory bail of the co-accused persons have been declined by this Court. The post-mortem report corroborates the allegation of the deceased being murdered by firearms.
6. In view of above and further, considering the nature and gravity of the offence coupled with punishment provided therein, I am not inclined to extend the Petitioner the privilege of pre-arrest bail. In the result, the present petition stands **dismissed**.

(Suman Kumar Divakar)
Additional Sessions Judge-V
Muzaffarpur

Date of Judgement/Order	06.05.2026
Date of reserving Judgement/Order	06.05.2026
Uploading Date	07.05.2026
Uploaded by	Bambam Kumar Jha, Stenographer