

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSION JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 1002/2026(BRMZ010034762026)
(Arising out of Kudhani PS Case No. 29/2026)
Vikas Ray & Ors. V/s The State of Bihar**

ABP No.: 1002/2026

FIR No.: 29/2026

Name of PS: Kudhani

U/Section.: 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 110, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the BNS

Dated: 07.03.2026

Present: Sri Ajay Kumar, learned P. P. for the State.

Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners/accused.

- (1) Heard, learned P.P. for the State and the learned Counsel on behalf of the petitioners/accused 1. Vikas Ray, S/o- Ram Naresh Rai, 2. Ram Naresh Ray, S/o-Late Ram Chandra Ray, 3. Mukesh Kumar, S/o- Paras Nath Ray, 4. Ratnesh Kumar, S/o- Paras Nath Ray, 5. Pankaj Ray, S/o- Paras Nath Ray, 6. Paras Nath Ray, S/o- Late Gyani Ray, 7. Rajdeo Ray, S/o- Late Gyani Ray, 8. Ajit Kumar, S/o- Rajdeo Ray and 9. Babloo Kumar, S/o- Bhullar Ray.
- (2) The petitioners are apprehending their arrest under section 482(2) of the BNSS, in connection with Kudhani PS Case No. 29/2026, registered under sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 110, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the BNS.
- (3) Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that no other bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously moved either before this court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.
- (4) The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that they are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioners is false and concocted and they do not have any criminal antecedent.
- (5) The case of the informant Pratap Kumar is that on 16.02.2026 around 06:30 in the morning, the accused 12 in number came to forcefully take over the land of his owner. When he objected, he was abused and assaulted. When his father Devendra Rai came with some villagers, Vikash Kumar assaulted the informant with a rod. His father, too, was assaulted.
- (6) The learned P.P. for the state opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.
- (7) Looking at the nature of allegations against the petitioners except for petitioner petitioner no. 1. Vikas Ray, are general and composite in nature and also the fact that petitioners are first time offenders and no apprehension is shown on part of the prosecution that the accused would flee from justice or commit a similar offence, if released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court concerned within four weeks period from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners except petitioner no. 1 (Vikas Ray) be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSION JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 1002/2026(BRMZ010034762026)
(Arising out of Kudhani PS Case No. 29/2026)
Vikas Ray & Ors. V/s The State of Bihar**

thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned concerned court subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation agency, as and when required.
- The petitioners shall not commit an offence in future.
- The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
- The petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence-witness in any manner.

As for petitioner no. 1 Vikas Ray since he is alleged to have struck with a rod, the prosecution is directed to produce injury report by the next date. In the meantime, no coercive step shall be taken against the petitioner.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned trial court and PS for the needful. Put up on 25.03.2026 for hearing.

sd/-
[Shweta Kumari Singh]
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Muzaffarpur.
07.03.2026