

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSION JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.**

Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.

A.B.P. No. 976/2026 (BRMZ010034132026)

(Arising out of Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1725/2023)

Suraj Kumar & Anr. V/s The State of Bihar

ABP No.: 976/2026

FIR No.: 1725/2023

Name of P.S.- Ahiyapur

U/Section.: 363,366(A) of IPC

Dated: 06.03.2026

Present: Sri Ajay Kumar, learned P. P. for the State.

Sri Santosh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners/accused.

- (1) Heard, learned P.P. for the State and the learned Counsel on behalf of the petitioners/accused 1. Suraj Kumar, S/o- Late Sakindra Ram 2. Urmila Devi, W/o- Late Sakindra Ram.
- (2) The petitioners are seeking per-arrest bail under section 482(2) of the BNSS, in Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1725/2023, registered under section 363,366(A) of IPC.
- (3) Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that no other bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously moved before this court or the Hon'ble High Court.
- (4) The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioners do not have any criminal antecedent. The entire allegation levelled against the petitioners are false and concocted.
- (5) The case of the informant Urmila Devi is that on 10.12.2023, her daughter went out to attend the nature's call and in the mean time Suraj Kumar along with his parents and some unknown persons kidnapped her with the intent to get her married.
- (6) The learned P.P. for the state opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.
- (7) Perused the TCR including the statement of the victim u/s 183 of BNSS, wherein she has stated that she knew Suraj Kumar for the last two years and that she went with him to Hyderabad and got married there. She has two children from the said wedlock and she is presently staying with her in-laws family. She also states that she was not been kidnapped by anybody and had gone on her own will.
- (8) In light of the submission made by the victim and the fact that petitioners are first time offenders and no apprehension is shown on part of the prosecution that the

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSION JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.**

Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.

A.B.P. No. 976/2026 (BRMZ010034132026)

(Arising out of Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 1725/2023)

Suraj Kumar & Anr. V/s The State of Bihar

accused would flee from justice or commit a similar offence, if released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court concerned within four weeks from the date of communication of this order let the petitioners, namely 1. Suraj Kumar, S/o- Late Sakindra Ram 2. Urmila Devi, W/o- Late Sakindra Ram be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned concerned court subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation agency, as and when required.
- The petitioners shall not commit an offence in future.
- The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
- The petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence-witness in any manner.

sd/-

[Shweta Kumari Singh]
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Muzaffarpur
06.03.2026