

**IN THE COURT OF 1<sup>st</sup> ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR****A.B.P. No. 944/2026****Sanju Kumari.....Petitioner.****Vs.****State of Bihar.....O.P.****O R D E R****06.03.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely, Sanju Kumari, in connection with Deoriya P.S. Case No. 241/2023, registered U/Ss 302/34 of the IPC, pending in Ld. Court of A.C.J.M. 5<sup>th</sup>, Muzaffarpur (West).

Heard arguments of the Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner, has submitted that earlier petitioner had filed one anticipatory bail application, vide A.B.P. No. 433/2024, before this Court, which was rejected and thereafter, the petitioner had filed one anticipatory bail application before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna, vide Cr. Misc. No. 27418/2024, which was also rejected and except these petitions, no other anticipatory or regular bail petitions of any nature has been filed by the accused petitioner, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has got no criminal history. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case, due to village politics. That the petitioner is separated from her husband Brijesh Kumar since before the date of alleged occurrence. That no offence is made out against the petitioner, in this case and the petitioner is not involved in the alleged murder of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has got genuine apprehension of her arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioner side, prays for her release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the record, including the FIR. From perusal, it appears that earlier anticipatory bail application of this petitioner, had been rejected by this court and thereafter, one anticipatory bail application of the petitioner was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, also, on merit. It is settled principle of law that a second or subsequent anticipatory bail application is not maintainable, in normal circumstances. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is dismissed as not maintainable.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

1<sup>st</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge  
Muzaffarpur