

**In the Court Of Additional Sessions Judge 1<sup>st</sup>, Muzaffarpur****A.B.P No. 926/2026****Dharmendra Kumar.....Petitioner.****Vs.****State of Bihar.....O.P.****O R D E R****17.03.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely, Dharmendra Kumar, in connection with Minapur P.S. Case No. 265/2020, registered U/Ss 414, 420, 467, 468, 471, 472, 120(B), 34 of the IPC and 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act, pending in the Court No. 13 of Ld. J.M.F.C., Muzaffarpur (East).

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner & Ld. A.P.P. for the State.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner, has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions of any nature had been filed by the accused petitioner, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has got no criminal history. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case by the police at the instance of his enemies. That entire allegations as levelled in this case against the petitioner are false and fabricated. That the petitioner is not named in the FIR and during investigation his name has sprung in this case in the confessional statement of one arrested accused, before the police. That no any incriminating material has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. That the petitioner has no concern with the alleged seized arms & ammunition etc. That some other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the court and case for bail of this petitioner is of similar footing. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has got genuine apprehension of his arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioner side, prays for his release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the original record, including the F.I.R. and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 108. From perusal of the record, it transpires that allegations against the petitioner are general, common, vague and omnibus in nature. No any specific allegation has been levelled against the petitioner and no any specific overt act

**In the Court Of Additional Sessions Judge 1<sup>st</sup>, Muzaffarpur****A.B.P No. 926/2026****Dharmendra Kumar.....Petitioner.****Vs.****State of Bihar.....O.P.****Continued****17.03.2026**

has been attributed to him. Petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has surfaced in this case, on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Mithun Kumar (as mentioned in CD para no. 40). No any arms or ammunition have been recovered from possession of this petitioner. Some other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the court and case for bail of this petitioner stands on similar footing. As per para no. 03 of the anticipatory bail application, the petitioner has got no criminal history.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, including nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail, in respect of him, is hereby **allowed**. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, the accused petitioner, namely, **Dharmendra Kumar**, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousands) with two sureties of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below and subject to the conditions, as laid down u/s 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned, for needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

1<sup>st</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge  
Muzaffarpur