

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-V, Muzaffarpur
Regular Bail Petition No. 315/2026
(Arising out of Minapur P. S. Case No. 11/2015)

In the matter of:

1. Mintu Singh, S/o Vidyanand Singh, aged about - 38 yrs,
Resident of Village- Tilaktajpur, P. S.- Runni Saidpur, District - Sitamadhi
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar : Opposite Party

Appearance(s)

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
Vishwash Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the State : Sadhusaran Prasad, Addl. PP.
Present: Suman Kumar Divakar
Bihar Superior Judicial Service

Order

24-04-2026

1. The Petitioner is praying for regular bail in connection with Minapur P. S. Case No. 11/2015 registered for the offences under section 302, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC' for short) and 27 Arms Act. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 21.01.2026.
2. It is clarified that in the case diary, the name of the Petitioner is also mentioned as Prabhat Ranjan @ Mintu Singh S/o - Vidyanand Singh @ Chhotan Singh (please see paragraph '138' and '236' of the case diary).
3. Briefly stated, the Informant has alleged that he received a phone call on 12.01.2025 that his son namely Manoj Kumar and his friend Mukund Kumar Mishra @ Mitthu Mishra had been shot dead by unknown criminals on at Lakshmi Line Hotel, Muksudpur. The name of the Petitioner has surfaced during the course of investigation.
4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that he has three criminal antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. The entire allegations are false and fabricated. He further submits that as a matter of

fact, the Petitioner happens to be cousin of one of the co-accused Dharmendra Singh @ Jugnu Singh and for this reason, he has been roped in the present case. In this backdrop, he prays for bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer so made on behalf of the Petitioner.
6. Heard the parties. Considered the submissions. Perused the material on record including case diary. It appears from the FIR that the Petitioner is not named therein. However, his name surfaced during the course of investigation. The Petitioner has three criminal antecedents, that is, (i) Runnisaipur P. S. Case No. - 96/2013, u/s - 376 of the IPC, (ii) K.M.P.S. Case No. - 307/2013, u/s 307, 302 of the IPC and 27 of the Arms Act and (iii) Town P. S. Case No. - 429/2011 u/s 25(1-b), 27 of the Arms Act. Furthermore, it appears from paragraph '236' of the case diary that the police has found the case true against the present petitioner.
7. In view of above and further, considering the nature and gravity of the offence coupled with criminal antecedent of heinous nature being carried out by the Petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge him on bail. In the result, the present petition stands **dismissed**.

(Suman Kumar Divakar)
Additional Sessions Judge-V
Muzaffarpur

Date of Judgement/Order	24.04.2026
Date of reserving Judgement/Order	24.04.2026
Uploading Date	27.04.2026
Uploaded by	Bambam Kumar Jha, Stenographer