

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR**A.B.P. No. 866/2026****Hari Sahni & Anr..... Petitioners.****Vs.****State of Bihar..... O.P.****O R D E R****05.05.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioners, namely, (1) Hari Sahni and (2) Mostt. Jaykal Devi, in connection with Town P.S. Case No. 57/2024, registered U/Ss 341, 323, 307, 380, 379, 329, 394, 452, 504 of the IPC and 27 of the Arms Act, pending in the Ld. Court of C.J.M., Muzaffarpur.

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioners and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioners, has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions had been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioners have got no criminal history. That the petitioners are quite innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case, by the informant due to dirty local politics. That all the allegations as levelled against the petitioner are false, fabricated and concocted. That there is no specific or direct allegation against the petitioners and the allegations against them are general and omnibus in nature. That there is land dispute between both the parties. It has further been submitted that the petitioners have got genuine apprehension of their arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioners side, prays for their release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail..

Perused the record, including the FIR and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 61. From perusal of the record, it transpires that allegations against these petitioner are general, vague and omnibus in nature and there is no direct and specific allegation against them. On the basis of material available on record, allegation under section 307 of the IPC, does not seem to be attracted, against the petitioners. Further, there is land dispute between both the parties. FIR has been lodged on the basis of a complaint petition filed in court. As per para no. 60 of the case diary, the petitioners have got no criminal history.

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 866/2026

Hari Sahni & Anr..... Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

Continued
05.05.2026

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, including nature of allegations against the accused petitioners, the prayer for anticipatory bail, in respect of them, is hereby **allowed**. Accordingly, in the event of their arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, the accused petitioners, namely, **(1) Hari Sahni and (2) Mostt. Jaykal Devi**, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousands) with two sureties of the like amount, each, to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below and subject to the conditions, as laid down u/s 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned, for needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur