

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPURA.B.P. No. 634/2026**Raj Kishor Sahni..... Petitioner.**

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.**O R D E R****10.03.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely, Raj Kishor Sahni, in connection with Kudhani (Turki O.P.) P.S. Case No. 202/2020, registered U/Ss 461, 427 of the IPC, pending in the Ld. Court of A.C.J.M. 1st, Muzaffarpur (West).

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner, has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions of any nature had been filed by the accused petitioner, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has got criminal history of two cases. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case, due to dirty village politics. That nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is a labourer. That the petitioner was not involved in causing damage to any ATM machine. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has got genuine apprehension of his arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioner side prays for his release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the original record, including the FIR and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 44. From perusal of the record, it transpires that this petitioner is not named in the FIR and FIR has been lodged against unknown person. Petitioner has been implicated in this case, on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Vicky Kumar (as mentioned in CD para no. 23). The present petitioner was not apprehended on the spot and there is no recovery of any incriminating material, from possession of this petitioner. Allegation against the petitioner appears to be based on conjectures and moreover, the allegation relates to causing damage to an ATM machine. Further, the allegation is very vague and omnibus in nature. Some other co-accused persons have

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 634/2026

Raj Kishor Sahni..... Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

Continued
10.03.2026

already been granted bail by the court and case for bail of this petitioner stands on similar footing.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, including nature of allegations against the petitioner, the prayer for anticipatory bail, in respect of him, is hereby **allowed**. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, the accused petitioner namely, **Raj Kishor Sahni**, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousands) with two sureties of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below and subject to the conditions, as laid down u/s 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. Let a copy of this order be sent to the court concerned, for needful.

(Dictated)

Sd./-
1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur