

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**

**A.B.P. No. 1615/2025**

(Arising out of Fulaut P.S. Case No. 24/2025).

1. Arun Kumar S/o Late Jaddu Mandal.
  2. Barun Kumar S/o Late Jaddu Mandal.
  3. Puja Devi W/o Arun Kumar.
- All residents of Village-Morsanda, P.S.-Fulaut, District-Madhepura.....Petitioners.

V.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

08/

**16.03.2026** Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Fulaut P.S. Case No. 24/2025 U/Ss. 126(2), 115(2), 308(2), 118(1), 74, 351(2) & (3) of B.N.S., pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Chandra Kanta Jha, learned lawyer for the petitioners and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Hiralal Mandal, allegation is that on 26.07.2025 at about 07:00 hours in the evening, the accused-petitioners variously armed came at the door of informant and started abusing the informant and ordered to vacate the land as the land belongs to accused-petitioners. Petitioner No. 2 Barun Kumar is alleged to have assaulted with Lathi causing injury at the head of informant and petitioner No. 2 Arun Kumar pressing his neck by putting 'Gamchha' around the neck of informant. On raising alarm, the informant's wife Punam Devi came to save the informant, she was threw on the ground by petitioners Arun Kumar and Barun Kumar and assaulted her with fists and kicks, resulting in she was became naked. After this, when the informant's brother Birbal Kumar came to save the informant's wife, he was assaulted by the accused persons. There is also allegation of snatching jewellery from the wife of informant by petitioner No. 3 Puja Devi and they looted a cash of Rs. 20,000/- by breaking the lock of the house of informant by entering into the house of informant by the accused-petitioners.

B  
16/3/26

Cont.....

Cont.....

**A.B.P. No. 1615/2025****16.03.2026**

There is also allegation of demand of ransom against the petitioners.


Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that due to land dispute between the parties, the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. It is also submitted that the allegations made in the FIR are totally concocted. No case under the aforesaid Sections is made out against the petitioners in any way. Moreover, the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners.

Perused the record, including the case diary. Land dispute is apparent between the parties. From perusal of injury-report, it appears that the doctor has found simple injury on the person of informant Hiralal Mandal (vide para-21 of case diary). It is also apparent from para-26 of case diary that in course of supervision by the Inspector of Police, the allegation against the above noted accused-petitioners for the offence U/Ss. 126(2), 115(2), 308(2), 118(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & (3) of B.N.S. have been found true. It also appears that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent, which is evident from para-28 of case diary. It also appears that the petitioners are on police bail, which is evident from para-36 of case diary. It also appears that the charge-sheet has already been submitted against the petitioners by the police in the present case.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case and after hearing the parties as submitted above and also considering that the petitioners are already on police bail and there is no apprehension of arrest the petitioners in the present case. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners is, hereby, **disposed of.**

**Dictated**

  
(Virendra Kumar Chaubey),  
I/C Sessions Judge,  
Madhepura.