

1
In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-1,
Cum-Special Judge, SC/ST (P.O.A.) Act, Madhepura.

B.P. No. 338/2026
(Arising out of Murliganj P.S. Case No. 36/2026)

01. Parash Kumar, Aged about-30 years, S/o Abhay Kumar
(Resident of Village- Raghunathpur, Ward No.-10, P.S.-Murliganj, District-
Madhepura).....Petitioner.

V.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

ORDER

14.07.2026 Petition for regular bail filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in jail custody since-25.03.2026 in connection with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 36 2026 U/Ss. 25(1-B)a, 27, 35 of Arms Act, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Rajesh Nandan, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Purushottam Prasad Yadav, learned P.P. for the State.

According to First Information Report, on getting confidential information, when the informant Guriya Devi on dated 19.01.2026 at night after taking dinner, informant and her all family members went to sleep. In the early hours of 20.01.2026 at about 02.30 a.m., three unknown miscreants unlawfully entered in the house of the informant after fastening the outer door from outside. Thereafter, they entered in the room of the informant, forcibly caught hold of her hair, dragged her and put a knife of her neck. It is alleged that they demanded the key of the Godrej almirah. When the informant refused, one of the accused persons pointed a pistol towards her head and fired at the lock of the almirah. The bullet allegedly got stuck in the lock. Further alleged that the accused persons committed theft/robbery of Rs.45,000/- cash, one Oppo mobile phone, one Vivo mobile phone, two boxes and other articles. On hearing the sound of firing and alarm, family members and villagers assembled at the place of occurrence, whereupon the

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-1,
Cum-Special Judge, SC/ST (P.O.A.) Act, Madhepura.

Cont..
14.07.2026

accused persons fled away through the rear side of the house. During the course of escape, they allegedly left behind one loaded magazine containing a live cartridge, two keypad mobile phones and one printed bedsheet, which were subsequently handed over to the police.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of petitioner submitted that the personer is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is also submitted that the petitioner is Not named in the F.I.R. The petitioner made accused in this Case on the basis of Confessional statement of the Co-accused. He is custody in jail since-25.03.2026, and, therefore, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.


On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the prayer for regular bail of petitioner.

Perused the record, including the case diary submitted with the charge-sheet. It is alleged that on 30.01.2026 at 02:30 AM, three unknown persons unlawfully entered into the house of informant forcibly and caught hold off informant by her hair locks, dragged her and placed knife on her neck. Accused persons demanded keys of godrej almirah to which informant refused. One of the accused person fired gun shots at the key hole of godrej almirah. The name of accused came up during investigation which is maintained in para 45 of case diary when one of the co-accused Nitish Kumar revealed the name of petitioner. Para 115 of case diary stated four criminal antecedent more and less similar nature. Petitioner has been under judicial custody since 25.03.2026.

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-1,
Cum-Special Judge, SC/ST (P.O.A.) Act, Madhepura.

Cont..
14.07.2026

Having considered the period of detention already undergone, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail upon furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- of two sureties of like amount each with further condition that petitioner be released only after submission of charge-sheet against him.

Dictated


(Sanjeev Kumar-II)
District & Additional Sessions Judge-I
Madhepura