

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**B.P. No. 288/2026****(Arising out of Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 02/2026).**

- 1. Pyarelal Chandrawanshi alias Pyare Lal S/o Ramdeo Ramani.
Resident of Village-Yaduapatti, Ward No.-07, P.S.-
Kumarkhand, District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.**

V.**The State of Bihar.....O.P.**

05/

24.04.2026 Petition for regular bail filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in jail custody since-06.01.2026 in connection with Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 02/2026 U/Ss. 115(2), 126(2), 103(1), 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Chandeshwari Ram, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Tulsi Devi, the deceased Manisha Kumari was her daughter and she was married to petitioner Pyare Lal Chandrawanshi in the year-2017. After marriage, the deceased Manisha Kumari was being assaulted and threatened to kill her. As per written-report, the informant got information regarding killing of her daughter Manisha Kumari on 05.01.2026 at 01:30 P.M. by the villagers as the petitioner Pyare Lal Chandrawanshi along with other FIR named co-accused persons have killed Manisha Kumari at her Sasural by pressing her neck. After this, when the informant along with her family members went to the Sasural of her daughter, it was found true and the dead-body was already sent by the police for postmortem.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is husband of the deceased. The petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is also submitted that there is no eye-witness of the occurrence alleging to the fact that the petitioner participated in the occurrence to kill the deceased. It is also submitted that in

Cont.....

Cont.....

B.P. No. 288/2026**24.04.2026**

fact the deceased committed suicide herself by hanging her. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent at all and he is rotting in jail since-06.01.2026. So, lenient and sympathetic view may be taken in the matter of regular bail.

On the other hand, Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State strongly opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner as he being husband with the assistance of his family members have committed serious offence.

Perused the record. It appears that the petitioner being husband along with family members is alleged to have assaulted the victim, pressed her neck causing death. As per para-44 of case diary, Post-mortem-report holds out Asphyxia due to hanging. Petitioner-husband did not report the matter to police. Subsequently, the victim's mother came from Samastipur and lodged the FIR. It appears that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is under custody since-06.01.2026. It appears from perusal of para-61, 62, 63 & 64 of the case diary that local witnesses supported the theory of suicide by self hanging by the victim. May it be the case of suicide, petitioner for no reason avoid^{ed} to report the police. The complicity of accused-petitioner can not be ruled out in the given circumstances.

Having considered the facts, circumstances and the materials available in the case diary, as discussed above, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner stands **rejected**.


Dictated
(Sanjeev Kumar-II),
I/C Sessions Judge,
Madhepura.