

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.

A.B.P. No. 251/2026

(Arising out of Fulaut P.S. Case No. 55/2025).

1. Sangita Devi W/o Dahu Yadav.
Resident of Village-Fulaut, P.S.-Fulaut, District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.
V.
The State of Bihar.....O.P.

06/

08.04.2026 Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named petitioner, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Fulaut P.S. Case No. 55/2025 U/Ss. 147, 148, 149, 323, 419, 420, 466, 467 of IPC, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Bhupendra Kumar Akela, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of complainant/informant Balkrishna Modi, allegation is that he had purchased a piece of land from co-accused Dahu Yadav through registered sale-deed No. 3832 dated-07.09.2022. On 29.09.2023, the complainant and his family members had gone out after locked the room and when they returned to the house, it was found the wall broken and fodder and firewood was kept therein. When the informant asked as to why he has kept the same then the accused persons became enraged and headed to assault and also demanded Rs. 1,00,000/- in lieu of change their attitude.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent lady and she has never committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is also submitted that the occurrence is said to be 29.09.2023, but, the FIR has been lodged on 28.11.2025, which shows falsity. The petitioner is lady and she has got no criminal antecedent.

Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner.

Perused the record, including the case diary. It appears that the FIR has been instituted U/Ss. 147, 148, 149, 323, 419, 420, 466, 467 of IPC. Para-19 of case diary, it appears that during the investigation, the police has found the case true against the petitioner Sangita Devi for offence U/Ss. 341, 323, 385, 427, 504, 506/34 of IPC, which are bailable Sections. Finding the case under bailable Sections, the accused-petitioner has no apprehension of arrest by the police. Hence, the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused-petitioner is **rejected as not maintainable.**

Dictated

Balsam Dubey
(Balram Dubey), 28.04.24

Sessions Judge, Madhepura.