

1. Chandrika Devi, W/o- Sri Chandra Mohan Yadav,  
2. Abhimannu Kumar @ Mannu Kumar, S/o- Sri Chandra Narayan Yadav,  
3. Sintu Kumar @ Pinku Kumar, S/o- Chandra Mohan Yadav,  
All R/o- village- Itahari, Ward no. 2, P.S. Singheshwar, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Bihar ..... O.P.

04/04/26

An anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 153/2020 u/s- 366A IPC, has been pressed today for hearing. Copy of petition already served to Id S.P.P. for state. Notice has been sent to the informant.

Heard Sri Rajesh Nandan, learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Id. S.P.P. for the state and perused the case record.

The prosecution story is that the informant Basant Kumar has lodged an FIR to this effect that on 14.06.2020 at night, after takin meal, her minor daughter went to sleep. At 11:30 pm informant wake up and found that her minor daughter was missing. At morning, he knew that Chintu Kumar, Pintu Kumar and other co-accused persons including petitioners kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant with intent to marriage. Thereafter, he lodged the FIR.

The Id. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that statement of victim recorded u/s 164 CrPC in which she did not supported the allegation of kidnapping. No case of u/s 366A IPC has been made out against the petitioners. Petitioners have got any criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditions laid down against the petitioners.

The Id. S.P.P vehemently opposed the bail and prayed to reject the same.

04.04.26

04/04/26  
Contd...

Heard, both sides and perused the FIR and the available CD. Record reflects that the FIR has been lodged for allegation of kidnapping of informant's daughter against these petitioners. The statement of victim u/s 164 CrPC has been recorded in which she stated that she eloped with Chintu Kumar (main accused) and married with him. She does not aspers anything against the petitioners in her statement u/s 164 CrPC. After perusal of statement u/s 164 CrPC made by victim girl, it appears that these petitioners have been implicated in this case due to the family members of the main accused Chintu Kumar. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the present case, the bail petition of the petitioners namely, 1. Chandrika Devi, 2. Abhimannu Kumar @ Mannu Kumar, 3. Sintu Kumar @ Pinku Kumar are hereby, **allowed**. It is ordered that in the event of their arrest or surrender before this court within 6 weeks, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000 X two sureties of like amount of each and subject to the condition as mentioned ~~as~~ u/s 482 BNSS.



Dictated

*Amit Kumar Pandey*  
04.4.26  
(Amit kumar Pandey)

Dist. & Addl Sessions Judge-VI  
-cum-Spl. Judge, POCSO,  
Madhepura