

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**B.P. No. 217/2026****(Arising out of Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 46/2026).**

1. **Bhushan Kumar S/o Ravindra Yadav.**
Resident of Village-Patori, Ward No.-01, P.S.-Srinagar,
District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.

V.**The State of Bihar.....O.P.****07/**

07.04.2026 Petition for regular bail filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in jail custody since-31.01.2026 in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 46/2026 U/Ss. 309(b), 317(2) of B.N.S., pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Jawahar Jha, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Manoj Das, allegation is that on 29.01.2026 at about 11:45 hours in daytime, the informant was returning from Patori to his house. In the way, two boys riding on a motorcycle came and intercepted the informant and snatched away a cash of Rs. 5000/- as well as mobile-phone by assaulting him at his head with the Butt-of-country-made pistol. On alarm, the nearby people came, however, one of the boys was caught hold and another boy managed to flee from the spot and Rs. 5000/- cash recovered from the apprehended person identified as Om Prakash Kumar alias Amit Kumar. On query, the apprehended person stated the name of that person, who escaped from the spot as Bhushan Kumar (Petitioner).

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has never committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is also submitted that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of this petitioner. The petitioner was not put on T.I.P. before the informant. The petitioner is rotting in jail since-31.01.2026, and he has got no criminal antecedent at all.

Cont.....


Cont.....

B.P. No. 217/2026**07.04.2026**

Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for regular bail of petitioner.

Perused the record. It is alleged that the petitioner assaulted the informant-victim Manoj Das over his head by Butt-of-country-made pistol while, co-accused snatched Rs. 5000/- cash and mobile-phone. It is further alleged that the petitioner Bhushan Kumar was not apprehended from the place of occurrence rather co-accused stated before the police that the person, who fled away from the place of occurrence was Bhushan Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner Bhushan Kumar. Moreover, the looted cash Rs. 5000/- was seized from co-accused Om Prakash Kumar alias Amit Kumar. The petitioner Bhushan Kumar was implicated in this case because statement by co-accused. Moreover, the police failed to conduct Test Identification Parade (T.I.P.) of petitioner for purpose of identification by informant. Para-33 of the case diary states co-accused Omprakash Kumar alias Amit Kumar has criminal character while instant accused Bhushan Kumar has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner has been languishing in judicial custody since-31.01.2026.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, and hence, he is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 46/2026.


Dictated
(Sanjeev Kumar-II),
I/C Sessions Judge,
Madhepura.