

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-IX, Madhepura

B.P. No.- 215/2026

(Arising out of Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 240/2025)

Present- Sri Raghubir Prasad D.A.S.J.-IX, Madhepura.

Raben Yadav @ Rabendra Yadav, S/o.- Yugeshwar Yadav, R/o.- Madheli Bazar,  
Ward No.- 13, P.S.- Shankarpur, Dist-Madhepura..... Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar ..... O.P.

08/04/26

A regular bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely Raben Yadav @ Rabendra Yadav, who is in custody since 21.02.2026 in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No.- 240 of 2025, u/s- 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 329(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS & 27 Arms Act, has been pressed today for hearing. Copy of petition already been served to learned A.P.P for the state.

Heard Sri Surendar Mohan Singh, learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri Jai Narayan Pandit, A.P.P. for the state and perused the case record.

As per written-report of informant Amod Kumar, is that on 19.11.2025 at about 11:00 pm in the night, with the intention of killing him petitioner along with co-accused persons attacked him at his house. All of them were armed. Suresh Yadav abused him and said, "today they will kill him," and fired a shot at him. By chance, he slipped and fell on a brick, and the bullet missed him. Then Lallu said, "you don't even know how to shoot and fired a shot at him saying, you survived. He fired another shot, and you survived again. After that, he started running towards the courtyard. At that moment, Mithlesh Yadav fired a shot at him from a large double-barreled gun. When he looked back, Mithlesh Yadav fired another shot. After this, petitioner Raben Yadav and Sonu Yadav started abusing and beating his parents with iron rods. During this, Sonu Yadav snatched the gold earrings from his mother's ears. Hearing the sound of gunfire and the commotion, the villagers started gathering. Seeing the villagers, they fired in the air and said, "you survived today, but we will kill you and fled away."

Ld advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that no anticipatory or regular bail petitioner earlier filed before this court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna except the present prayer. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent. Petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2026. Regular bail of the petitioner has been rejected by lower court on 25.02.2026. Petitioner is quite innocent and he has not committed any offence. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. It is clear from the allegation made by the informant and the perusal of FIR that three shots were fired with intention to kill. It is said that he fell, but the complainant does not have even a scratch. No any incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of petitioner. All alleged sections of BNS are bailable except section 109(1), 303(2) of BNS and 27 Arms Act which does not attracted against the petitioner. Co-accused granted bail vide B.P. 2380 of 2025 dated

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-IX, Madhepura

B.P. No.- 215/2026

(Arising out of Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 240/2025)

Present- Sri Raghbir Prasad D.A.S.J.-IX, Madhepura.

08/04/26  
Contd...

12.01.2026. Petitioner is ready to give sound sureties to the satisfaction of the court. It is therefore, humbly prayed that the petitioner is enlarge on bail.

The ld A.P.P. Sri Jai Narayan Pandit, vehemently opposed the bail and prayed to reject the same.

Heard both sides and perused the case record as well as LCR. From perusal of case record it is evident that informant Ramkumar Bhagat has lodge the FIR u/s.- 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 329(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS & 27 Arms Act. It appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR. As per para- 48, 54 the injured has not provided the injury report to the I.O. Petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2026. Investigation is still continuing against the petitioner.

Considering the above facts and circumstances and taking into account the nature of allegation against the petitioner and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner in jail, prayer of above named petitioner is allowed he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned court below in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No.- 240 of 2025 with condition that:-

- (1) One of the bailor shall be close relative of the petitioner.
- (2) Petitioner shall file an undertaking to co-operate during trial.
- (3) Petitioner shall file an undertaking that he will not indulge such type of act in future.

Dictated

*Raghbir Prasad*  
08.04.2026

(Raghbir Prasad)

D.A.S.J.-IX, Madhepura