

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**B.P. No. 186/2026****(Arising out of Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 181/2025).****1. Ishrat Bano W/o Md. Rahman.****Resident of Village-Puraini, Ward No.-11, P.S.-Puraini,
District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.****V.****The State of Bihar.....O.P.**

07/

25.03.2026 Petition for regular bail filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in jail custody since-07.02.2026 in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 181/2025 U/Ss. 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. & U/s 27 of Arms Act, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Javed Ekram, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Shabri Rani, the deceased Ram Tahal Das was her husband, who was the teacher posted at the Sonvarsha School, and in short, allegation is that on 31.05.2025 at about 12:00-to-01:00 hours, the informant's husband (deceased) along with his colleague Ravi Ranjan Kumar by profession teacher were returning from Sonvarsha School to the house, in course of which, the deceased's "Guru" Baidyanath Das along with 2-3 other accused persons encircled the deceased Ram Tahal Das and co-accused Baidyanath Das, Milan Kumar and other persons shot dead him. As per written-report, the informant got information regarding killing the deceased by her Bhaisur Bechan Yadav, who was returning from Shankarpur on that time. It is said that there is hand of co-accused Rajeev Yadav too in commission of murder of informant's husband as Rajeev Yadav's connection was with said Baidyanath Das and Baidyanath Das wanted to keep the deceased with him because the deceased was the close disciple of said Mahanth Baidyanath Das but the deceased did not obey the order of his Guru Baidyanath Das and he got a job of teacher through B.P.S.C. and married with the informant.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent lady and she has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on suspicion. As a matter of fact the petitioner used to live in Delhi along with her husband and her motorcycle was being kept in the courtyard of the house at her

25.03.26

Cont.....

Cont.....

B.P. No. 186/2026**25.03.2026**

Sasural and said motorcycle took away by one Gautam Kumar by taking key from the children by showing urgency for bringing medicine, and on that very time, all adult members of the house had gone to field for working. In fact, the said motorcycle was never given by this petitioner to anybody nor she was present in her Sasural. The petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence in any way. The petitioner is rotting in jail since-07.02.2026 along with her new born child aged about 05 months. So, lenient and sympathetic view may be taken in the matter of regular bail of this lady petitioner.

Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for regular bail of petitioner but conceded as the petitioner is lady.

Perused the record. On perusal of case diary, it appears that the name of this petitioner came when the police recovered the used motorcycle (BR43AC-5375) in commission of alleged occurrence from the house of Md. Anzar, who is the Debar of this petitioner Ishrat Bano (vide para-73). It is evident from para-113 of the case diary that there is ownership of the used motorcycle of this petitioner Ishrat Bano. Apart from the ownership of used motorcycle, there is no cogent materials available in the case diary so far against the petitioner Ishrat Bano regarding involvement or complicity in commission of alleged occurrence. The petitioner is lady and she has got no criminal antecedent as has mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in judicial custody since-07.02.2026.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as well as the materials available in the case diary and the allegation against the petitioner and especially keeping in view of lady petitioner, she is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 181/2025, with condition that (I) one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioner and (ii) the petitioner shall remain physically present before the court on each and every date till trial of this case, failing to do so on two consecutive dates, her bail bonds shall automatically be cancelled.

Dictated

Balram Dubey
25.03.26

(Balram Dubey),

Sessions Judge, Madhepura.