

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**  
**A.B.P. No. 245/2026**  
**(Arising out of Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2026)**

1. Umesh Rajbhar,  
2. Narayan Mandal,  
3. Upendra Rajbhar, all sons of Late Ramkhelawan Mandal,  
4. Sarvottam Mandal S/o Late Mahendra Mandal,  
5. Anjali Devi W/o Narayan Mandal,  
6. Dilkhush Kumar S/o Umesh Mandal,  
7. Bijay Rajbhar S/o Upendra Mandal,  
8. Sarvottam Kumar S/o Narayan Mandal and  
9. Om Prakash alias Reshamlal Kumar S/o Late Dharni Mandal,  
All residents of village – Rampur, Ward No. 11, P.S. - Singheshwar, District –  
Madhepura. ....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party.

04.

24.03.2026

**ORDER**

This application for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of the BNSS on behalf of the above named nine petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2026 u/s. 126 (2), 127 (2), 115 (2), 76, 109 (1), 303 (2), 3 (5) of the B.N.S. and u/s 27 Arms Act, is pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Kailash Prasad Yadav, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the above named nine petitioners and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. appearing on behalf of the state.

It is alleged in the F.I.R. by the informant Sujata Kumari that on 22.01.2026 at about 03.40 P.M. in the evening the driver of the tractor of informant's Dewar parked the tractor on the door and while parking the tractor, the back portion of the tractor touched the bamboo made fence of Bijay Rajbhar (petitioner) due to which the peg of bamboo bent down. On that matter the petitioner Bijay Rajbhar started abusing to Rahul Kumar. On objection, all the above named nine petitioners and seven co-accused persons named in the F.I.R. along with five unknown persons having armed with lathi, iron-rod and pistol started assaulting to informant's Dewar Rahul Kumar, who fled away and came to informant' verandah. It is further alleged that all people came in the courtyard and co-accused Manohar Mandal ordered to kill him (Rahul Kumar). When informant's started to save him (Rahul Kumar) then the petitioner Omprakash Kumar got her naked by pulling her sari and when the informant fell down on the ground then he (Omprakash Kumar) brought under the verandah by catching hold her blouse. It is further alleged that when informant's cousin mother-in-law Sarita Devi and cousin father-in-law Narad Kumar started to save the informant then the petitioner Sarvottam Mandal S/o Narayan Mandal and co-accused Sunitl Kumar started assaulting by means of iron-rod and lathi. The petitioner Anjali Devi assaulted to the informant with slaps and

**Continue.....**

+  
24.03.24

Contd...

A.B.P. No. 245 of 2026

24.03.2026

co-accused Nisha Devi took out gold chain worth two lakh rupees from the neck of the informant. It is further alleged that when Nagendra Mandal came for saving then co-accused Jayprakash Mandal assaulted him with iron rod with intention to kill due to which his hand, arm and leg became injured. It is further alleged that all accused persons took out two VIP boxex containing clothes and jewelleries worth about Rs. 4.50 lakh from the house of the informant and they took out one VIP box containg clothes and ornaments worth about Rs. 02 lakh from the house of Rahul and on protest the petitioner Omprakash Kumar and one unknown person fired shots with pistols. The people admitted the informant, Sarita Devi, Narad Kumar and Nagendra Mandal in Singheshwar Hospital and from there informant's cousin mother-in-law, cousin father-in-law and Nagendra Mandal were referred.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that these petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. These petitioners have falsely been implicated due to counter case vide Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 35 of 2026 and due to land dispute. There is no specific overt act of any kind is alleged against these petitioners.

Learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State of Bihar opposed prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Perused the xerox copy of the case diary. All the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. The informant in her re-statement vide paragraph – 2 and the witnesses in their statements vide paragraphs – 3 and 7 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case as alleged in the F.I.R. At paragraph – 48 of the case diary, the injury reports are mentioned, which shows that several persons have sustained injuries in this case out of which injuries of Sujata Kumari and Sarita Devi are simple in nature but injures of injured persons, namely, Nagendra Pd. Mandal and Narad Kumar have been found grievous in nature by the doctor. Investigation of the case is going on.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, the petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned lower court and seek regular bail and the learned lower court shall consider the prayer for regular bail of the above named petitioners after going through the materials available on the record and the case diary, without being prejudiced by this order.

With the above observations, this anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of all the above named nine petitioners stands **disposed off** in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2026.

Dictated

*Balram Dubey*  
24.03.26  
(Balram Dubey)

Sessions Judge, Madhepura.