

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.****B.P. No. 162/2026****(Arising out of Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 181/2025).****1. Nitin Kumar alias Nitin Mehta alias Nitish Kumar S/o Ashok Mehta.****Resident of Village-Ganeshpur, P.S.-Puraini, District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.****V.****The State of Bihar.....O.P.**

04/

**11.03.2026** Petition for regular bail filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in jail custody since-18.07.2025 in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 181/2025 U/Ss. 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. & 27 of Arms Act, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Nishant Kumar, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Shabri Rani, the deceased Ram Tahal Das was her husband, who was the teacher posted at the Sonvarsha School, and in short, allegation is that on 31.05.2025 at about 12:00-to-01:00 hours, the informant's husband (deceased) along with his colleague Ravi Ranjan Kumar by profession teacher were returning from Sonvarsha School to the house, in course of which, the deceased's "Guru" Baidyanath Das along with 2-3 other accused persons encircled the deceased Ram Tahal Das and co-accused Baidyanath Das, Milan Kumar and some other persons shot dead him. As per written-report, the informant got information regarding killing the deceased by her Bhaisur Bechan Yadav, who was returning from Shankarpur on that time. The informant suspected that co-accused Baidyanath Das with the assistance of one Rajeev Yadav have killed the deceased because said Baidyanath Das wanted to keep the deceased with him as he was his close disciple but the deceased did not obey the order of co-accused Baidyanath Das.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR nor any incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. He is rotting in jail since-18.07.2025. It is also

Cont.....

*f*  
11.03.26

Cont.....

**B.P. No. 162/2026****11.03.2026**

submitted that co-accused Baijnath Das alias Baidyanath Das has been granted regular bail by this learned court vide order dated-02.09.2025 passed in B.P. No. 1970/2025. So, the petitioner may also be granted relief in the matter of regular bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State strongly opposed the prayer for regular bail of petitioner as he with the assistance of other accused persons have committed serious offence.

Perused the record. On perusal of case diary, it appears that the informant in her re-statement in para-4 has supported the case of prosecution. The witnesses examined in para-5, 118, 119, 120, 121 & 174 have also supported the prosecution case. It appears from para-61 of case diary that the petitioner Nitin Kumar alias Nitish Kumar in his confessional statement, he has confessed his guilt before the police that he with the assistance of Gautam Kumar and Chhedi Sah alias Rishav committed murder of the deceased. P.M.R. enclosed with the case diary shows as per opinion of the doctor the cause of death of deceased is – Haemorrhage and shock due to firearm Bullet injury (vide para-57). It also appears that after thorough investigation the charge-sheet has already been submitted by the police against the petitioner Nitin Kumar alias Nitish Kumar U/Ss. 103(1), 61(2) of B.N.S. & 27 of Arms Act (vide para-191). The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent, which is evident from para-62 of case diary in which as many as six criminal cases have been shown against him. The involvement of this petitioner prima facie reveals in commission of murder of the deceased.

The case of this petitioner is quite different from co-accused Mahanth Baijnath Das alias Baidyanath Das, who was allowed bail by this court as no material was available in the case diary against him. The said Mahanth Baijnath Das alias Baidyanath Das has not been sent up for trial by the police during the investigation.

Considering the seriousness of allegation and the materials available on record against this petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge on bail to him. Accordingly, bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner stands **rejected**.

Dictated

*Balram Dubey*  
11.03.26  
(Balram Dubey),

Sessions Judge, Madhepura.