

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.****A.B.P. No. 224/2026****(Arising out of Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 111/2019).**

1. **Bhulan Devi alias Jhulan W/o Sanjay Yadav.**
2. **Anita Devi W/o Sikandar Yadav.**
3. **Nitish Kumar S/o Sikandar Yadav.**

**All residents of Village-Israinekala, P.S.-Kumarkhand, District-Madhepura.....Petitioners.**

**V.**

**The State of Bihar..... O.P.**

06/

**28.03.2026** Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 111/2019 U/Ss. 341, 323, 307, 379, 504/34 of IPC, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Pramod Kumar Poddar, learned lawyer for the petitioners and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Punam Devi, on 18.06.2019 at about 05:00 P.M., the accused persons, including the petitioners variously armed with Lathi, rod came at the door of informant and started abusing. When the informant's husband Naresh Yadav forbade the accused persons from using abusive words, then co-accused Sikandar Yadav assaulted with rod causing injury at the head of informant's husband Naresh Yadav. When the informant and her son Shiv Shankar Kumar came to save, co-accused Ashish Kumar ordered to kill and then, co-accused Sanjay Yadav assaulted with Lathi causing injury at the head of informant's son Shiv Shankar, and thereafter, the other accused persons assaulted the informant, her husband and son with kicks, fists and slaps. There is allegation of snatching a silver chain and Payal from the informant's neck and leg by petitioners Anita Devi and Bhulan Devi.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of petitioners submitted that due to land dispute between the parties, the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. It is also submitted that all Sections are bailable

Cont.....

*Satish Kumar*  
*28.03.26*

Cont.....

**A.B.P. No. 224/2026****28.03.2026**

except Sections 307 & 379 IPC, which are super addition in order to make the case more graver and no specific overt act is alleged against the petitioners. It is also submitted that the petitioner Nos. 1 & 2 are ladies and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, the good-sense have been prevailed between the parties for which a compromise petition with permission petition duly signed herein has been filed.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of informant and learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State did not oppose as the parties have entered into a compromise.

Perused the record. It appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or snatching against the petitioners. It also appears that the petitioners were not sent up for trial by the police along with other co-accused persons, however, the learned court below has taken the cognizance against the petitioners as per order dated-10.12.2020. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as has mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition. The parties have entered into a compromise. The informant/injured appeared in court and admitted the factum of compromise and the injured found able-bodied.

In view of aforesaid facts, prayer of above named petitioners is allowed and they are directed to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within 15 days from the date of receipt a copy of this order/production before the learned court below, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below in connection with Kumarkhand P.S. Case No. 111/2019, subject to condition as laid down u/s 482(2) B.N.S.S.

**Dictated**

*Satish Kumar*  
*28.03.26*  
**(Satish Kumar),**  
I/C Sessions Judge,  
Madhepura.