

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.

A.B.P. No. 109/2026

(Arising out of Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 267/2023).

1. **Laili Khatun alias Bibi Laila W/o Md. Pairu.**
2. **Md. Pairu S/o Late Md. Yakub.**
3. **Md. Amir S/o Md. Pairu.**

All residents of Village-Chakrami Basa, P.S.-Alamnagar, District-Madhepura.....Petitioners.

V.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

06/

12.03.2026 Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named petitioners apprehending their arrest U/Ss. 341, 323, 447, 307, 354(A), 504/34 of IPC in connection with Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 267/2023, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Deo Narain Sah, learned lawyer for the petitioners and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

As per written-report of informant Bibi Kari, allegation is that on 12.10.2023 at 08:30 hours in the night, the accused persons, including the petitioners variously armed entered into the house of informant and started abusing. Further allegation is that on order of petitioner Md. Pairu, petitioner No. 3 Md. Amir caught hold the informant and threw her on the ground, co-accused Md. Sanjur assaulted with Dabia causing injury at the head of informant. When the informant's husband Md. Israile came to save the informant, he was also assaulted with rod causing injury at his head, and thereafter, all accused persons assaulted both the informant and her husband with Lathi, rod. When the informant's son and daughter-in-law came to save them, they were also assaulted and misbehaved with the informant's daughter. There is also allegation of snatching jewellery by co-accused Bibi Laili and Soni Khatun from the informant's daughter.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the

Cont.....

12.03.26

Cont.....

A.B.P. No. 109/2026**12.03.2026**

present case. It is also submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties, i.e. Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 267/2023. It is also submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners rather there is general and omnibus. It is also submitted that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.


Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners.

Perused the record. On perusal of case diary, it appears that the informant in her re-statement in para-2 has supported the case of prosecution. Apart from this, the witnesses examined in para-15, 16 & 17 have also supported the occurrence of 12.10.2023. It also appears from para-20 & 21 (injury-reports) that two persons have sustained injury in the alleged occurrence. From perusal of injury-report, it appears that the injuries of injured Md. Israil has been kept reserved so far by doctor concerned as per injury report. It is also apparent from para-24 of case diary that in course of investigation by the police-inspector, the allegation against the petitioners for the offence U/Ss. 341, 323, 447, 307, 354-A, 504/34 of IPC has been found true. Prima-facie, the complicity of the petitioners reveals in commission of alleged occurrence.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, in my view, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners. Therefore, the above named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned court below and seek regular bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners is, hereby, **disposed of.**

The learned court below shall consider the prayer for regular bail of the petitioners without being prejudiced by this order.

Dictated


(Balram Dubey),

Sessions Judge, Madhepura.