

6/12/26

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.
A.B.P. No. 106/2026

1. **Nripendra Kumar S/o Late Nagendra Prasad.**
(Arising out of Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 399/2025).

**Resident of Village-Kurha, P.S.-Sahelpur Kamal, District-
Begusarai & address given in the FIR, Village-Mazar Hat, Ward
No.-14, P.S.-Singheshwar, District-Madhepura.....Petitioner.**

V.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

08/06.03.2026 Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named
petitioner apprehending his arrest U/Ss. 336(3), 337, 339, 318(4), 61(2), 229(1)
of B.N.S. in connection with Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 399/2025, pressed
today for hearing.

Heard Sri Anil Kumar, learned lawyer for the petitioner and Sri
Bibeka Kumar, learned P.P. for the State.

Prosecution case is based on the typed written-petition of

informant Suman Kumar Sinha, Revenue Karamchari, Anchal-Singheshwar
lodged at the Singheshwar police station stating therein that a petition for
mutation for Kebala No. 12739 filed by petitioner Nripendra Kumar through
Mobile No. 9631357619, which was numbered as Mutation Case No. 1148/25-

26. On 12.12.2025, said Mutation case was rejected by the court of Circle
Officer, Singheshwar finding overwriting in uploaded Khesra No. 3433 &
3427. In this context, on 18.12.2025, the certified copy of sale-deed aforesaid
obtained from the Registry Office, Madhepura and after comparing with the
sale-deed uploaded by the petitioner, it was found that the petitioner made

overwriting as Khesra No. 3433 & 3427 in place of Khesra No. 3429 & 3430,
Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of petitioner submitted that

the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any criminal act. In fact,
already Zamabandi No. 743 is running in the name of petitioner and his father
and there was no occasion ever took place to file a Mutation Case No. 1148/25-

26. So far as Mobile No. 9631357619 is concerned, it is not of the petitioner
nor the said mobile Number is of petitioner's deceased father. No case under

Cont.....

the aforesaid Sections is made out against the petitioner in any way. Moreover, the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent at all. So, the petitioner may be granted relief in the matter of anticipatory bail.

Learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State conceded the aforesaid fact.

Perused the record, including the case diary. It is apparent from para-32 of case diary that on spot verification by Anchal Amin Kundan Kumar Singh, who has reported that the possession is of petitioner over the land in question vide Khata No. 242 Khesra No. 3433, Khata No. 1226 Khesra No. 3427 Mutation Case No. 1148/25-26. It also appears that the alleged Mobile No. 9631357619, through which mobile number it was applied for mutation for Khabala No. 12739, which is not of the petitioner nor the same mobile number is linked with the Aadhar Card of this petitioner for which an affidavit has been filed on behalf of petitioner. Presently, no investigation in this regard has pointedly out as the investigation is still ongoing (vide para-33). The petitioner is an elderly person aged about 64 years old and he has got no criminal antecedent, which is evident from para-27 of case diary.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case and after hearing the parties, in my view the petitioner deserves for anticipatory bail, and hence, he is directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within 15 days from the date of receipt a copy of this order/production before the learned court below on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below in Singheshwar P.S. Case No. 399/2025, subject to condition as laid down u/s 482(2) B.N.S.S., with condition that the accused-petitioner shall cooperate in investigation and trial.

Dictated

Virender K. Chauhan
6/3/26
(Virender Kumar Chauhan),
I/C Sessions Judge, Madhupura.