

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Madhepura.**A.B.P. No. 94/2026****(Arising out of Madhepura P.S. Case No. 1230/2025).**

1. Mamta Devi W/o Manoj Kumar Yadav.
2. Manoj Kumar Yadav S/o Jhingur Prasad Yadav.
3. Nilesh Kumar S/o Manoj Kumar Yadav.

**All residents of Village-Tehra, Ward No.-08, P.S.-Basnahi,
District-Saharsa.....Petitioners.**

V.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

06/

09.03.2026 Petition for bail filed U/s 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of above named petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Madhepura P.S. Case No. 1230/2025 U/Ss. 115(2), 126(2), 85, 109, 352, 3(5) of B.N.S. & 3/5 D.P. Act, pressed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Bibhishan Prasad Yadav, learned lawyer for the petitioner as well as Sri Satyendra Kumar Mishra, learned lawyer for the informant and Sri Bibeka Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State.

In short, case of the informant Nitu Kumari is that she was married to Nikhil Kumar in the year-2023, and she gave birth to one boy child from their wedlock. There is allegation of demand of one four-wheeler vehicle and due to non-fulfillment of the same, she was being tortured, assaulted and subjected to cruelty by her husband and in-laws, who are petitioners.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are informant's Mother-in-law, Father-in-law and her Dewar and the allegation against these petitioners are only just to harass them. Moreover, the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

Learned lawyer appearing on behalf of informant and learned P.P. appearing on behalf of State did not controvert the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners as the petitioners are in-laws, i.e. other than husband and the parties have entered into a compromise.

Perused the record. This is a case U/Ss. 85, 109 & other Sections of B.N.S. & 3/5 D.P. Act. The parties have entered into a compromise for which the compromise petition with permission petition duly signed by both the parties has

09.03.26

Cont.....

Cont.....

A.B.P. No. 94/2026**09.03.2026**

been filed. The informant physically appeared in court and admitted the factum of compromise with the petitioners. The petitioners are said to be the informant's Mother-in-law, Father-in-law and her Dewar. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as has mentioned in para-3 of this anticipatory bail petition.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and after hearing the parties, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within 15 days from the date of receipt a copy of this order/ production before the learned court below, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below in connection with Madhepura P.S. Case No. 1230/2025, subject to condition as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., **with condition that the petitioners shall execute undertaking that they shall not threat of any kind to the informant and they will keep the informant at her Sasural with full-honour and dignity. Further condition is that the prosecution is at liberty to file the petition for cancellation of bail of petitioners before the concerned court, if they shall not fulfill the terms and conditions of the compromise petition as contained therein.**

Dictated

Balram Dubey
09.03.26

(Balram Dubey),
Sessions Judge,
Madhepura.