

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 358/2025**

Subodh Singh Hada Vs. The State of Bihar  
Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 16/2025

**16.06.2025**

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Subodh Singh Hada** s/o- Bhanwar Singh Hada, who is apprehending arrest in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 16/2025 u/s 336(3) of B.N.S. pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-I, Munger.

2. The informant who is I/c Deputy Superintendent, Sub-Divisional, Hospital, Haveli Kharagpur has alleged that the petitioner has joined there on 28.09.2020 in the light of order of Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Munger vide Memo No. 2264 dated 22.09.2020. That vide letter No. 166 dated 22.01.2025 of Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Munger it has been directed that in the light of forwarding report of the Chief Director, (Nursing) Health Department, Bihar Patna, the Departmental Order No. 1014 (6) dated 14.09.2020 was found to be forged and directed to discharge the persons appointed on the basis of said order and also to take appropriate action. The petitioner has been appointed on the basis of said Departmental Order No. 1014 (6) and he was found absent from his duty since 14.12.2024. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 358/2025**

Subodh Singh Hada Vs. The State of Bihar  
Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 16/2025

any offence and further that he has been recruited to serve the patient of COVID-19 by the said order. That the alleged sections are not applicable against the petitioner. That there is abnormal delay of about five years in lodging the F.I.R. That no departmental investigation or any disciplinary action was taken against the petitioner. That in the F.I.R. it is not mentioned that how the document was forged. That petitioner is ready to appear before the investigating Officer as and when required. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that the petitioner is the named accused of the F.I.R. The allegation qua the petitioner is that he succeeded in obtaining job in the Health Department on the basis of forged document. That the investigation in the case qua the petitioner is continuing.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and the circumstances of the case in my view no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. Consequently, the same is hereby **Rejected**.

**Dictated**

**(ALOK GUPTA)**  
**Sessions Judge, Munger**  
**Dated:- 16.06.2025**