

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**
Anticipatory Bail Application No. 465 of 2026

**Shyama Devi Vs State of Bihar
Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025**

Order dated: 22.06.2026

ORDER

22.06.2026

The present Anticipatory Bail Application has been preferred by Petitioner namely **Shyama Devi** w/o Late Sitaram Bind who is apprehending in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025 under Section 96 of BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-I, Munger. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is present on calling and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State is also present.

As per the Bail Application, it is submitted that the Petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that no section made out against the Petitioner and the Petitioner is widow and suffering from several illness and unable to walk in proper way. There is no concern of Petitioner with Informant and his daughter. In para-2 of the Application, it is certified that the Petitioner has not filed any Bail Application before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court of Patna. The Petitioner have no criminal antecedent. The Petitioner is ready to furnish the bail bond and she is also ready to comply with direction of this Court. Hence, it is prayed to enlarge the Petitioner on Bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the present Anticipatory Bail Application.

As per the written application of the Informant, the case of the prosecution is that the Informant namely Subhash Kumar stated that on 20.11.2025, his minor daughter went to collage for exam but she did not return to home at 04:30 PM, then the Infomant got information, she talked on phone with Sachin Kumar. He alleged that the Sachin Kumar and his mother (Petitioner) have kidnapped his minor daughter.

Heard both sides and perused the records.

From the perusal of the case record, it is clear that the Petitioner is named in FIR and there is allegation to help the main accused person in kidnapping daughter of Informant. All prosecution witnesses in paras-2, 3, 6, 21 & 98 of the case diary have supported the case of prosecution. The statement of victim has recorded under Sections 180 & 183 of BNSS in which she has not supported the case of

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**
Anticipatory Bail Application No. 465 of 2026

**Shyama Devi Vs State of Bihar
Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025**

Order dated: 22.06.2026

prosecution and she stated that she voluntarily fled away from the place of occurrence due to fear of her parents. This Court has already rejected bail of co-accused person vide ABA No. 1658 of 2025 dated 13.01.2026. This case is still under investigation.

Therefore, considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of the allegation, present status of this case, earlier rejecting of bail of co-accused person by this Court as well as statement of victim under Sections 180 & 183 of BNSS, I am of the view that the Petitioner deserve the privileges of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner **Shyama Devi is hereby Allowed** with conditions on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000x2/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned with condition that;

1. One bailor will be close relative of the Petitioner who undertakes how he/she is related with the Petitioner.
2. The Petitioner undertakes not to threat the prosecution witnesses her release and co-operate the police during investigation.
3. Surrender within Twenty-five (25) days from the receipt of the order to Court below.

Dictated,

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Munger

Dated: 22.06.2026

Date of Order/Judgement	22.06.2026
Uploading Date	02.07.2026
Uploaded By	Neeraj Kumar Pandey (Steno)