

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 817/2026

Rajesh Kumar Bind Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 478^C/2024

25.07.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Rajesh Kumar Bind**, s/o- Ramkhesar Bind who is apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 478^C/2024 u/ss 420, 498(A), 448, 323, 120(B)/34 of I.P.C. and section 3/4 of D.P. Act, pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Munger.

2. The complainant has alleged that her marriage with the co-accused Rajesh Kumar Bind was solemnized on 25.06.2019 thereafter she went to her matrimonial house where she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioners towards dowry demand of Rs. 4,00,000/-. Ultimately, she was ousted from her matrimonial house. Hence, she preferred the present Complaint Case.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That the petitioner is the husband of the complainant. That the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 09.04.2026 and 18.05.2026 in A.B.A. No. 187/2026 and A.B.A. No. 642/2026 respectively. Therefore the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 817/2026

Rajesh Kumar Bind Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 478^C/2024

petitioner and submits that this is a Complaint Case pertains to matrimonial dispute.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 09.04.2026 and 18.05.2026 in A.B.A. No. 187/2026 and A.B.A. No. 642/2026 respectively. That the petitioner has no criminal case pending against him as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 25.07.2026