

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 815/2026

Nitish Gupta Vs. The State of Bihar

Complaint Case No. 1018^C/2025

17.08.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Nitish Gupta**, S/o- Krishna Prasad Gupta who is apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1018^C/2025 u/ss 85 of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Mrs. Shalini Kiran , Ld. J.M.Ist, Class, Munger.

2. The complainant has alleged that her marriage with one Nitish Gupta was solemnized on 11.07.2024. She further alleged that she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioners towards dowry demand of Rs. 20,00,000/-. Ultimately, she was ousted from her matrimonial house. Hence she preferred the present Complaint Case.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He has also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and have not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and works in Central Bank of India at Mumbai as a special information and technology officer. Therefore, the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioner be enlarged on pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that this is a Complaint Case pertains to matrimonial dispute.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 815/2026

Nitish Gupta Vs. The State of Bihar

Complaint Case No. 1018^C/2025

5. Heard the submissions of respective Ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the record it is appears that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That the petitioner is the husband of the complainant. That the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 17.08.2026