

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE II,  
MUNGER**

**BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1156/2026**

Punam Devi Vs. The State of Bihar

Tetia Bamber P.S. Case No.-67/2026

**10.06.2026**

1. The present bail application has been preferred by petitioner Punam Devi W/o- Rohit Paswan, who is lying in judicial custody since 27.05.2026 in connection with Tetia Bamber P.S. Case No.-67/2026 u/s- 117(2)/126(2)/118(2)/352/109/3(5) of BNS pending in the Court of Ld. ACJM-IV, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that on dated-25.05.2026 at about 9:30 PM informant's brother said to accused no.-1 Punam Devi that have you kept this lathi to beat me? When accused persons heard this statement they started beating the informant brother with lathi and sharped object. By which informant's brother got injury on his head and started bleeding.

Hence the information, based on which the above stated Tetiya Bamber P.S. case 67/2026 was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal history**. He further submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE II,  
MUNGER**

**BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1156/2026**

Punam Devi Vs. The State of Bihar  
Tetia Bamber P.S. Case No.-67/2026

Contd.

10.06.2026      for the petitioner has submitted that all sections are bailable except u/s-109 BNS which is not applicable on her. Petitioner is a poor lady and there is no any specific allegation against her. That the present petitioner is lying in judicial custody since 27.05.2026. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the present petitioner be enlarged on bail.

4.              The Ld. PP has opposed the bail application of the petitioner.

5.              Heard the submissions of respective ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6.              From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named accused but there is no any specific allegation against her. Petitioner is a lady and she is in custody since- 27.05.2026. All alleged sections are bailable except-109 BNS. As per para-37 of the case diary there is no any criminal antecedent against her. Injury report is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

7.              Hence, considering the period of custody, nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and the circumstances, this bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, the petitioner is

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE II,  
MUNGER**


**BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1156/2026**

Punam Devi Vs. The State of Bihar

Tetia Bamber P.S. Case No.-67/2026

directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court with conditions that both the bailors shall be villager of the petitioner.

**Dictated**



**(Rakesh Kumar Singh)**

**Distt & Addl.Sessions Judge II, Munger  
Dated:- 10.06.2026**