

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-745/ 2026

Mukesh Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No.- 63/2025

15.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner Mukesh Yadav, s/o- Upendra Yadav, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No.- 63/2025, u/s- 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3),3(5) of BNS pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M-IV, Munger,

2. As per FIR prosecution story in brief is that on dated- 08.04.2025 at about- 05:30 PM informant was grazing buffaloes and returning to his house. As soon as he reached near Raygarh Nahar, Primary School the accused persons were laying in ambush. They started abusing. All of them were armed with weapons. They opened fire to kill him. At the same time Dhiraj Yadav fired a second shot from a gun, which hit him on his waist, and another bullet hit his chest. By which he severely injured and fell down, and started bleeding profusely. Hence the information, based on which the above stated Sangrampur P.S. Case No.- 63/2025, was registered u/s- 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3),3(5) of BNS.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously an ABA-11/2026 & ABA-204/2026 has been withdrawn except that no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-745/ 2026

Mukesh Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No.- 63/2025

including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has two criminal history.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is no any specific allegation against this petitioner. Dispute arose between both the parties is due to construction of bridge. Other co-accused person has been granted bail in this case.

Therefore, the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. Perused the case record. It appears that petitioner is named accused but there is no any specific allegation against this petitioner. At per injury report, nature of injury is simple caused by hard and blunt substance and not on vital part of body. Other co-accused has been granted bail in this case.

7. Accordingly, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations this anticipatory bail application is hereby

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-745/ 2026

Mukesh Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No.- 63/2025

Allowed. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each with condition that bailors shall be villagers of petitioner and shall be physically present till the framing of charge.

Dictated

sd/-

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-15.06.2026

Copy forwarded to the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M-IV, Munger,

Dictated

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-15.06.2026