

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-709/ 2026

Govind Kumar @ Govind Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Muffasil P.S. Case No.- 200/2026

12.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **(1)** Govind Kumar @ Govind Yadav, s/o- Chaube Yadav, **(2)** Nitish Yadav @ Nitish Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.- 200/2026 u/s- 126(2)/115(2)/118(1)/109(1)/74/352/351(2)/3(5) of BNS and 27 Arms Act, pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger,

2. As per FIR prosecution story in brief is that on dated- 25.04.2026 during night accused persons damaged the tap which was in front of informant's house. When she opposed this, accused Govinda Yadav abused informant and called other co-accused. All accused persons started beating and forced into the house. Then on dated- 26.04.2026 in the morning around 6:00 am, accused persons called other co-accused and started shouting. Govinda Yadav ordered "Kill these people" then Chaube Yadav said to other accused persons that "what are you watching? Shoot them," saying that all of them together started beating informant and her family.

Hence the information, based on which the above stated Muffasil P.S. Case No.-200/2026 u/s- 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS and 27 Arms Act was registered.

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-709/ 2026

Govind Kumar @ Govind Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Muffasil P.S. Case No.- 200/2026

3. At the outset the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has criminal history**. Further, it is submitted that petitioners have no hand in alleged occurrence and there is no specific allegation against them. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that injured did not sustained injury of fire arms which speaks about malafide intention of informant.

Therefore, the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that nothing incriminating has been recovered from his possession of the both petitioners. Informant's allegation is of firing on chest but As per para-

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-709/ 2026

Govind Kumar @ Govind Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Muffasil P.S. Case No.- 200/2026

51 of case diary, injury report is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. In this circumstances the allegation does not appear trustworthy.

7. Accordingly, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each with condition that bailors shall be villagers of petitioner and shall be physically present till the framing of charge.

Dictated

sd/-

**(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-12.06.2026**

Copy forward to the Court of Ld. CJM, Munger for informant & needful

Dictated

**(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-12.06.2026**