

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1077/2026

Ajay Das Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No.-65/2026

22.06.2026

1. The present bail application has been preferred by petitioner Ajay Das S/o- Late Suresh Das, who is in judicial custody since 11.05.2026 in connection with Dharhara P.S. Case No.-65/2026 u/s- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS pending in the Court of Ld. ACJM-III, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged(as per FIR) that on dated- 10.05.2026 at about-08:00 AM when informant's father went to lock the house then accused persons attacked on him with intention to kill by sharp weapons, hammers, spears, spades and iron rod. In the occurrence informant sustained injuries to his head. Due to serious injury victims were taken to Dharhara Government Hospital for treatment where after treatment informant's father and brother were referred to Sadar Hospital, Munger.

Hence the information, based on which the above stated Dharhara P.S. Case No.-65/2026 was registered u/s- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the**

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1077/2026

Ajay Das Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No.-65/2026

petitioner has one criminal history.

He further submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that all sections are bailable except u/s-109 & 118(1) of BNS. Petitioner has no any specific allegation and this case is resultant of civil dispute which is present between both the parties. That the present petitioner is in judicial custody since 11.05.2026. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the present petitioner be enlarged on bail.

4. The Ld. PP has opposed the bail application of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record, it appears that petitioner is named accused but there is no any specific allegation against him. There is general and omnibus allegation. The Court has called for injury report several times but has not been received. It is informed that doctor is not available at Sadar Hospital for long time, hence the report has not been prepared. Injury report of referral hospital is available. Some injury is of simple nature. As per para-

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1077/2026

Ajay Das Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No.-65/2026

30 of the case diary there is no any criminal antecedent against this petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since-11.05.2026.

7. Accordingly, considering the above facts & circumstances as well as period of custody, this bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court with conditions that both the bailors shall be villager of the petitioner.

Dictated

sd/-

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Distt & Addl.Sessions Judge II, Munger

Dated:- 22.06.2026

Copy forwarded to the Court of Ld. ACJM-III, Munger for needful.

Dictated

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Distt & Addl.Sessions Judge II, Munger

Dated:- 22.06.2026