

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 688/2026
Indradev Mandal Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.-79/2026.

15.06.2026

1. The present Anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner Indradev Mandal S/o- Late Satrughan Mandal, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 79/2026 u/s- 115(2), 126(2), 109, 118(2), 308(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged(as per FIR) that on dated- 31.03.2026 at about 10:00 AM informant was going to his house. On the way, he stopped at Sitariya Petrol Pump near Gautam Pan shop. After that time accused persons came with 2 four wheeler vehicle with 15-20 number of persons got down. All of them carrying lathi, sticks, and weapons. Among them, one person, Pappu Mandal was holding a country made pistol, which he pointed at the chest of informant, abused him and demanded Rs. 100000. or else we will kill you. As soon as accusedd arrived, they arrived, they attacked due to which informant left hand was cut in two places. Accused Neeraj Mandal forcefully struck on his head with handle of spade repeatedly saying “kill him”. Again, they hit informant on head with the spade handle and sword and said that “Come on, he is dead now” after which informant lost consciousness.

Hence the information, based on which the above stated Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 79/2026 u/s- 115(2), 126(2), 109, 118(2), 308(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS was registered.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 688/2026
Indradev Mandal Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.-79/2026.

that previously no regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has one criminal history which has been disposed.** He further submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner and informant both are involved in same business, due to business rivalry he has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing incriminating has been recovered from his possession. The entire allegations against the petitioner are false and baseless.

Therefore, the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioner be enlarged on bail.

4. The Ld. APP & Ld. Counsel for the informant opposed the bail application of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. Perused the case record, it is found that petitioner is named accused, and allegation against this petitioner is to assault the informant in order to committing extortion. Allegation is serious. As per para-10 & 11 other witnesses have also disclosed the name of this petitioner who has also active participation in the incident. In para-52 & 53 of case diary, CCTV footage shows that a person is running away with lathi in his hand. Nature of injury is grievous caused by hard and blunt substances. There is also a criminal antecedent against this petitioner. Investigation is going

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. DISTRICT SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 688/2026
Indradev Mandal Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.-79/2026.

on.

7. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances and seriousness of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant the anticipatory bail and accordingly the same is hereby **Rejected**.

Dictated

sd/-

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Distt & Addl.Sessions Judge II, Munger
Dated:- 15.06.2026

Copy forwarded to the Court of Ld. Ld. C.J.M, Munger for information and needful.

Dictated

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Distt & Addl.Sessions Judge II, Munger
Dated:- 15.06.2026