

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1029/2026

Gulshan Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

Kharagur P.S. Case No. 01/2026

27.05.2026

1. The present bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Gulshan Kumar**, S/o- Shambhu Mandal who is lying in judicial custody since 01.01.2026 in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 01/2026 u/s 80, 103 and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-I, Munger.

2. The informant has stated that his daughter's marriage with the petitioner Gulshan Kumar was solemnized in the year 2025 about eight month prior to the date of information. He alleged that his daughter was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioner towards dowry demand. That on 31/12/2025 he was informed that his daughter has committed suicide. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal case pending against him.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and have not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That there are no specific allegations against the petitioner. That the petitioner is husband of the deceased. That the petitioner is lying in judicial custody since 01.01.2026. That the some of the co-accused persons have granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide order dated 04.05.2026 in Cr. Misc. No.29606/2026. Therefore the Id. Counsel pleads that the petitioners be enlarged on bail.

4. The Ld. PP has opposed the bail application of the petitioner and

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 1029/2026

Gulshan Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

Kharagur P.S. Case No. 01/2026

submits that the petitioner is the named accused of the F.I.R. That the witnesses in their respective statements on paras 5, 10 and 26 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. From the post-mortem report, the doctor has opined the cause of death was due to 'asphyxia caused by hanging'. That the investigation in the case is continuing.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record, it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the named accused of the F.I.R. That the witnesses in their respective statements on paras 5, 10 and 26 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. From the post-mortem report, the doctor has opined the cause of death was due to 'asphyxia caused by hanging'. That the investigation in the case is continuing. That the petitioners are lying in judicial custody since 01.01.2026.

7. Therefore, considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence, the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and the circumstances, I am not inclined to accept the present bail application and hence the same is hereby **Rejected**.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 27.05.2026