

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 661/2026

Manni Kumar @ Suraj Kumar & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 91/2023

16.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **1. Manni Kumar @ Suraj Kumar**, who is apprehending their arrest in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 91/2023 u/s- 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 341, 504, 506 of IPC pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger.

2. As per FIR the informant has alleged that on dated- 12.03.2023 at about 08:10 PM when informant went to Chandi sthan to buy vegetables then all accused persons attacked on him with lathi and pistol in their hands. They also fired 8-10 round by which some people got injured. There were 20-25 person with the accused persons. The main reason behind this occurrence is that one day before accused persons also came to kill the informant but they were unable to do that because people were present there and they saved the informant.

Hence, the information, based on which the above stated case Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 91/2023 u/s- 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 341, 504, 506 of IPC was registered.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioners has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 661/2026

Manni Kumar @ Suraj Kumar & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 91/2023

filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has three criminal history**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Further, it is submitted that petitioners were not concerned with alleged occurrence. The petitioner is ready to obey the terms and conditions. Therefore, the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. Perused the case record. As per para-31 of the case diary it appears that injury of informant is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. There is no any specific allegation against this petitioner. It seems to be counter-blast case of Kotwali P.S.- Case no.-89/2023 and allegation is omnibus against this petitioner. Other co-accused has been granted bail in this case on similar fact.

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 661/2026

Manni Kumar @ Suraj Kumar & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Kotwali P.S. Case No.- 91/2023

7. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Hence, petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) of Cr.P.C. / 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Dictated

sd/-

**(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
DASJ-II Judge, Munger
Dated:- 16.06.2026**

Copy forwarded to the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger

Dictated

**(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
DASJ-II Judge, Munger
Dated:- 16.06.2026**