

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 476/ 2026
along with
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 649/ 2026

Ravindra Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 70/2025

13.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail applications have been preferred by petitioner **Ravindra Yadav**, S/o- Brahmdeo Yadav in **A.B.A No. 476/2026** as well as petitioners No. **1. Uday Yadav**, s/o- Late Jagarnath Yadav and **2. Prakash Yadav**, s/o- Late Shivnarayan Yadav in **A.B.A No. 649/2026**, in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 70/2025 U/Ss 191(2), 191(3), 190, 125(A), 125(B), 109 of B.N.S. and 27 of Arms Act., pending in the Court of Ld. AC.J.M. IV, Munger.

2. As both these anticipatory bail applications arises out of same P.S., hence both were taken up for hearing together and are being disposed off by this common order.

3. The informant has alleged that all the accused persons including the petitioners assaulted him while pelting stones. He further alleged that the co-accused Sachin fired a gun shot upon him as a result of which he became unconscious. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

4. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner in ABA No. 476/2026 has certified that previously no other anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has two criminal case pending against him in which he is**

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 476/ 2026
along with
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 649/ 2026

Ravindra Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 70/2025

on bail.

5. On the other hand the Id. Counsel for the petitioner in ABA No. 649/2026 has certified that previously no other anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner no. 1 has two criminal case and petitioner no. 2 has one criminal cases.**

6. The Ld Counsel in both the anticipatory bail applications submitted that the petitioners are innocent and has not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in this case. That there are no specific allegations against the petitioners. That no case u/s 109 of BNS and 27 of Arms Act is made out against the petitioners. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted bail vide order dated. 25.02.2026 and 05.02.2025 in A.B.A No. 371/2026 and 80/2026. Therefore the Id. Counsels in both the anticipatory bail applications plead that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

7. The Ld. P.P has opposed the anticipatory bail applications of the petitioners.

8. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 476/ 2026
along with
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 649/ 2026

Ravindra Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 70/2025

9. From perusal of case record, it appears that the specific allegations of firing is upon the co-accused namely Sachin. That the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. However, from the injury report, it is apparent that the victim has suffered injuries on the vital parts of body which has been opined to be grievous in nature. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted bail vide order dated. 25.02.2026 and 05.02.2025 in A.B.A No. 371/2026 and 80/2026.

10. Hence, considering the totality of the facts and the circumstances of the case, both the anticipatory bail applications are hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 13.05.2026