

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 647 of 2026

Sachin Kumar and Others Vs State of Bihar

Muffasil P.S. Case No. 112 of 2026

Order dated: 22.05.2026

ORDER

22.05.2026

The present Anticipatory Bail Application has been preferred by Petitioners namely **(1) Sachin Kumar** s/o Sudo Mahton **(2) Aalok Kumar** s/o Pandav Mahton and **(3) Prince Kumar** s/o Rajesh Mahton who are apprehension of arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 112 of 2026 under Sections 324(4), 115(2), 109, 118(1), 126(2), 74, 351(2), 352 & 3(5) of BNS pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners' is present on calling and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State as well as Ld. Informant's Counsel is also present.

As per the Bail Application, it is submitted that the Petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the present FIR has been registered on 04.03.2026 in respect of the offence and Pandav Mahton @ Pandav Kumar Singh brought a Muffasil P.S. Case No. 111 of 2026 against the present prosecution party so the present case has been brought only to save the skin from the Muffasil P.S. Case No. 111 of 2026 and Pandav Kumar @ Pandav Mahton protested for installation of the transformer over their land, so the prosecution party started to assault the Petitioners and the present case has been falsely brought against the Petitioners and some others due to said reasons. The co-accused persons granted bail earlier by this Court. In para-2 of the Application, it is certified that the Petitioners have not previously filed any Bail Application before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court of Patna. The Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the present Anticipatory Bail Application.

As per the written statement of the prosecution story is that the Informant alleged Nand Kishor Mahton alleged that Kuchchi @ Vikash Kumar,

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 647 of 2026

Sachin Kumar and Others Vs State of Bihar

Muffasil P.S. Case No. 112 of 2026

Order dated: 22.05.2026

Sudho @ Sudhakar Mahton, Saurav Kumar, all were misbehaved with bad intention with niece of Informant in drunken condition. When the Informant protested, Nitish Kumar assaulted with pistol butt and Jigho Mahton assaulted with iron rod with the intention of killing which resulted into right leg injury. Amal Mahton also assaulted with iron rod on left leg which resulted into injury. Jyotish Kumar, Alok Kumar, Sachin Kumar, Prince Kumar, Bablu Mahton, all were pelted stones on the house of Informant and used abusive words to Informant and his family members and damaged his tempo which resulted into loss of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand Rupees).

Heard both sides and perused the records.

From perusal of the case records, it appears that the petitioners are named in FIR along with others and there is allegation to assault with pistol butt and misbehaved with the Informant. All prosecution witnesses in paras-7 & 8 of the case diary have supported the case of prosecution. Para-49 of the case diary contained injury report of injured person in which is doctor has opined the injury is simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance. This case is still under investigation. The reason behind this incident due to installation of transformer over the land of Pandav Kumar Singh @ Pandav Mahton. There is also case and counter between both sides related to same date of occurrence. The co-accused persons granted bail earlier by this Court.

Therefore, considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of the allegation, present status of this case, nature of injuries, no criminal antecedents against the Petitioners as per bail application, case and counter case between both sides as well as granting of bail earlier of co-accused persons by this Court, I am of the view that the Petitioners deserve the privilege of Anticipatory Bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the Petitioners namely **(1)**

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 647 of 2026

Sachin Kumar and Others Vs State of Bihar

Muffasil P.S. Case No. 112 of 2026

Order dated: 22.05.2026

Sachin Kumar (2) Aalok Kumar and (3) Prince Kumar is hereby Allowed with conditons on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000x2/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned with condition that;

1. One bailor will be close relative of the Petitioners who undertakes how they are related with the Petitioners.
2. The Petitioners undertake not to threat the prosecution witnesses after their release and co-operate the police during investigation
3. Surrender within Twenty (20) days from the receipt of the order to Court below.

Dictated,

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Munger

Dated: 22.05.2026

Date of Order/Judgement	22.05.2026
Uploading Date	03.06.2026
Uploaded By	Neeraj Kumar Pandey (Steno)