

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 593/2026

Madhukar Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar

Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026

08.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Madhukar Yadav**, s/o- Raj Pati Yadav, who is apprehending arrest in connection with **Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29 of 2026** u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 308(3), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-III, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that the all the accused persons including the petitioner physically assaulted him with iron rod and butt of pistol and demand an extortion of Rs. 5,00,000/-. He further alleged that they snatched away a gold chain and cash amount of Rs. 5,000/- from him. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously an anticipatory bail application filled on behalf of the petitioner was Dismissed as Withdrawn by this Court vide order dated 17.04.2026 in ABA No. 395/2026 and except this no any anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has one criminal case pending against him**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That no case u/ss 303(2) and 109 of B.N.S. is made out against the petitioner. That the co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 17.04.2026 in A.B.A. No. 395/2026. That there are no specific allegations against the petitioner. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 593/2026

Madhukar Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar

Darhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.
5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.
6. From perusal of the injury report, it is apparent that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. That the co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 17.04.2026 in A.B.A. No. 395/2026.
7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S with further conditions that **one of the bailors shall be family member of the petitioner and that the petitioner shall file an undertaking that he will not tamper with any of the evidences or influence any of the witnesses of the case and that he shall remain physically present before the Ld. Trial Court on each and every date.**

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 08.05.2026