

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 642/2026**

Ramkhesar Singh and others Vs. The State of Bihar  
Complaint Case No. 478c/2024

**18.05.2026**

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Ramkhesar Singh**, s/o- Late Shivcharan Bind, **2. Chinta Devi**, w/o- Ramkhesar Singh and **3. Geeta Devi**, w/o- Ajay Bind who are apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 478c/2024 u/ss 420, 498(A), 448, 323, 120(B)/34 of I.P.C. and section 3/4 of D.P. Act, pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Munger.

2. The complainant has alleged that her marriage with the co-accused Rajesh Kumar Bind was solemnized on 25.06.2019 thereafter she went to her matrimonial house where she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioners towards dowry demand of Rs. 4,00,000/-. Ultimately, she was ousted from her matrimonial house. Hence, she preferred the present Complaint Case.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners have certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against them.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. That the petitioners are the in-laws of the complainant. That the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 09.04.2026 in A.B.A. No. 187/2026. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 642/2026**

Ramkhesar Singh and others Vs. The State of Bihar  
Complaint Case No. 478c/2024

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that this is a Complaint Case pertains to matrimonial dispute.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 09.04.2026 in A.B.A. No. 187/2026. That the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against them as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application. That the petitioner No. 1 and 2 are senior citizen aged about 65 years and 61 years respectively and the petitioner No. 3 is a woman.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

**Dictated**

**(ALOK GUPTA)**  
**Sessions Judge, Munger**  
**Dated:- 18.05.2026**