

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-621/ 2026

Kumar Pradeep & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Gangta P.S. Case No.- 28/2026

17.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **(1)** Kumar Pradeep, s/o- Late Jagdev Paswan, **(2)** Dilip Paswan, s/o- Late Jagdev Paswan **(3)** Karmveer Pratap s/o- Kumar Pradeep who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Gangta P.S. Case No.- 28/2026 u/s-126(2)/115(2)/109(1)/76/351(2)/3(5) of BNS , pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-III, Munger,

2. As per FIR prosecution story in brief is that on dated- 06.04.2026 at about 07:30 PM informant had gone Belhar (field) to relieve. While returning from there accused persons were lying in ambush in the lane. They forcibly started dragging informant towards their house. When she shouted, people from her house rushed to the place of occurrence then all accused persons attacked on the husband of informant with lathi, stick and other weapons with intent to kill, due to which her husband head split open and he fell down bleeding profusely. Accused persons also beaten her sister-in-law who came to save her.

Hence the information, based on which the above stated Gangta P.S. Case No.- 28/2026 u/s-126(2)/115(2)/109(1)/76/351(2)/3(5) of BNS was registered.

3. At the outset the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-621/ 2026

Kumar Pradeep & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Gangta P.S. Case No.- 28/2026

behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal antecedent**. Further, it is submitted that petitioners have forbade them not shout then prosecutions side person started beating the petitioner Kumar Pradeep bitterly by which he got injured. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that at the time of occurrence petitioners were in the hospital.

Therefore, the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. Perused the case record. There is no any specific allegation against these petitioners and allegation against petitioners seems omnibus. As per para-57 & 58 of the case diary it transpire that in CT Scan report, no significant abnormality is seen. There is case and counter case related to this incident. There is no any criminal antecedent

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-621/ 2026

Kumar Pradeep & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Gangta P.S. Case No.- 28/2026

against these petitioners.

7. Accordingly, considering the facts and circumstances of the case mentioned above and the nature of allegations this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each with condition that bailors shall be villagers of petitioner and shall be physically present till the framing of charge.

Dictated

sd/-

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-17.06.2026

Copy forward to the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-III, Munger for informant & needful

Dictated

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
District & Additional Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:-17.06.2026