

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 851 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 852 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 896 / 2026

Amresh Pratap Singh & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 53/2026

21.04.2026

1. The present bail applications have been preferred by petitioners No. 1. **Amresh Pratap Singh**, S/o- Late Prahlad Singh and 2. **Ankit Kumar**, s/o- Surendra in **B.A No. 851/2026**, petitioner **Alok Singh**, s/o- Late Sushil Kumar Singh in **B.A. No. 852/2026** and petitioner **Sanjiv Yadav**, s/o- Mahanand Yadav in **B.A No. 896/2026**, who are lying in judicial custody since 29.03.2026 in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 53/2026 U/Ss 303(2), 111 and 3(5) B.N.S., section 21 of MMDR Act and sections 56(i) and 56(ii) of Bihar Minerals (Concession, Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and Storage) Rules, 2019, pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-IV, Munger.

2. As all the three bail applications arises out of same P.S., hence all were taken up for hearing together and are being disposed off by this common order.

3. The informant who is a police officer has alleged that on information he along with his team reached at the place of occurrence where all the accused persons including the present petitioners were involved in illegal mining of yellow sand and apprehended the petitioners and seized two JCB under Chasis No. HAR3DXSSV0297939 and chasis No. HAR3DXSSV02812280. On enquiry, the accused persons stated that they are the employees of a company namely M/s Mahadev Enclave who has taken the contract of sand mining. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

4. At the outset the Ld. Counsels in all the three bail applications

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 851 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 852 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 896 / 2026

Amresh Pratap Singh & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 53/2026

have certified that no any other regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. **That the petitioner of B.A. No. 896/2026 has one criminal case pending against him and the petitioners of B.A. No. 851/2026 and B.A. No. 852/2026 have no criminal cases pending against them.** The Ld Counsels in all the three bail applications submit that the petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case. That the petitioners are the staff of contractor Santosh Singh who has taken the contract from a company namely M/s Mahadev Enclave. That the petitioners of all the bail applications are lying in judicial custody since 29.03.2026. Therefore the Ld Counsels in all the three bail applications plead that the petitioners be granted privilege of bail.

5. The Ld. P.P has opposed the anticipatory bail applications of the petitioners and submits that the accused persons were indulging in illegal mining of sand in a highly organized manner and at a large scale at a distance of about two kilometers away from the place where the above said company Mahadev Enclave have been given mining license resulting in massive loss to the State exchequer as per the respective statements of witnesses on paras 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the case diary. The Mining Officer, Munger in his report dated 16.04.2026 has outlined the monetary loss and fine of about Rs. 30,07,813/- caused to the State. However, he has fairly conceded that during the investigation it has come that all the petitioners are labourers of the aforesaid company.

6. Heard the submissions of respective ld. Counsels and perused

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 851 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 852 / 2026

along with

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 896 / 2026

Amresh Pratap Singh & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 53/2026

the record of the case.

7. From perusal of case record, it appears that the petitioners were working for the contractor Santosh Singh who had taken the contract from a company namely M/s Mahadev Enclave which purportedly has some license for mining sand. That the petitioners of all the bail applications are lying in judicial custody since 29.03.2026. That the petitioner of B.A. No. 896/2026 has one criminal case pending against him and the petitioners of B.A. No. 851/2026 and B.A. No. 852/2026 have no criminal cases pending against them as per para 3 of the present bail applications.

8. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and the circumstances, both the bail applications are here **Allowed**. Therefore, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court **with conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and that the petitioners shall file an undertaking that they will not tamper with any of the evidences or influence any of the witnesses of the case and that they shall remain physically present before the Ld. Trial Court on each and every date.**

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 21.04.2026