

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 497/ 2026

Jai Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 623^C/2022

21.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Jai Kumar**, s/o- Dwarka Mandal, who is apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 623C/2022, u/s 324, 341, 354, 498A/34 of I.P.C., pending in the Court of Sri Shaktiman Bharti, Ld. J.M-Ist Class, Munger.

2. The Complainant has alleged that her marriage with the petitioner Jai Kumar was solemnized in the year 2010 and from the said wedlock one child was borne out. She further alleged that she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioner towards demand of dowry of Rs 5 lakhs. She further alleged that her husband has an illicit relationship with his sister-in-law and the petitioner along with co-accused tried to burn her while pouring kerosin oil upon her. Hence, she preferred the present complaint case.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner have two criminal cases pending against him in which he is on bail.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and there are no specific allegations against him. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 in A.B.A. No. 1324/2025. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 497/ 2026

Jai Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 623^C/2022

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that this is a Complaint Case pertains to matrimonial dispute.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 in A.B.A. No. 1324/2025.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 21.05.2026