

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 483/2026

Krishnadev Yadav @ Kisun Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar
Tarapur P.S. Case No. 14/2026

15.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Krishnadev Yadav @ Kisun Yadav**, s/o- Late Raju Yadav, who is apprehending arrest in connection with Tarapur P.S. Case No. 14/2026 u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 109, 117(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger.
2. The informant has alleged that all the accused persons including the petitioner came at her house and started abusing. She further alleged that the co-accused person namely Sanjay Yadav and the present petitioner Kishun Yadav assaulted her husband with irod rod as a result of which he suffered injuries. Further the co-accused namely Bhudeo Yadav tried to outraged her modesty and the co-accused Isteli Yadav threatened to kill her and her family members. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.
3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal antecedents**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That there are case and counter case between the parties. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 02.02.2026 and 24.02.2026 in A.B.A. No. 261/2026 and A.B.A. No. 134/2026. That both the parties are close relatives. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 483/2026

Krishnadev Yadav @ Kisun Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar
Tarapur P.S. Case No. 14/2026

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions of respective ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that both the parties are close relatives and there are family disputes between them for which they have preferred case and counter case qua each other. From the respective injury reports, it is apparent that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 02.02.2026 and 24.02.2026 in A.B.A. No. 261/2026 and A.B.A. No. 134/2026. That the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as per para 2 of the present anticipatory bail application.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 15.05.2026