

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Regular Bail Application No. 772 of 2026

Md. Taufik Ansari Vs State of Bihar

Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 136 of 2025

Order dated: 10.04.2026

ORDER

10.04.2026

The present Regular Bail Application has been preferred by Petitioner namely **Md. Taufik Ansari** s/o Late Nasim who is in judicial custody since 25.12.2025 in connection with Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 136 of 2025 under Sections 318(4), 61(2) & 3(5) of BNS and under Sections 25(1-B)a & 26(i)(ii)/35 of Arms Act pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is present on calling and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State is also present.

As per the Bail Application, it is submitted that the Petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is nothing incriminating or illegal articles have been recovered from the possession of the Petitioner and no independent witness in seizure time, concerned Investigating Officer has submitted charge-sheet and one independent witness in case diary. The regular bail application of co-accused person rejected earlier by this Court vide BA No. 606 of 2026 on 19.03.2026. In para-2 of the Application, it is certified that the Petitioner has not previously filed any Bail Application before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court of Patna. The Petitioner have no criminal antecedent. The Petitioner is ready to furnish the bail bond and he is also ready to comply with direction of this Court. Hence, it is prayed to enlarge the Petitioner on Bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the present Bail Application.

As per the written statement of the prosecution story is that the Informant namely Laxman Kumar (ASI) stated that on 25.12.2025 at about 01:30 PM received a confidential information from the reliable informer that **Md. Taufik Ansari (Petitioner)** and Md. Akabar are illegally manufacturing, buying

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Regular Bail Application No. 772 of 2026

Md. Taufik Ansari Vs State of Bihar

Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 136 of 2025

Order dated: 10.04.2026

and selling arms and counterfeit cigarettes in the house and have made considerable wealth from this business. He further stated that the Informant and police personnel raided and arrested Md. Taufik and Md. Akabar and after searched they seized some country-made pistols, 12+8 pieces cartridges, gold flake premium, wills flake, gold flake honey dew, one touch mobile with sim, a motorcycle and etc. He further stated that further searched, they seized Rs. 85,50,000/- (Eighty Five Lakh and Fifty Thousand Rupees) in different notes of five hundred, two hundred and one hundred and the accused persons have not produced any valid legal documents or licence in this regard. He further stated that one copy of seized articles has been served to each accused person thereafter returned to concerned police station. The Informant further stated that the FIR was registered against said accused persons and unknown persons under Sections 318(4), 61(2) & 3(5) of BNS and under Sections 25(1-B)a & 26(i)(ii)/35 of Arms Act.

Heard both sides and perused the records.

From the perusal of the case record, it is clear that the Petitioner is named in FIR along with other and there is direct allegation to illegally manufacturing, buying and selling arms and counterfeit cigarettes in the house and have made considerable wealth from this business. All prosecution witnesses in paras-5, 6, 97 & 98 of the case diary have supported the case of prosecution. Para-2, 3, 33, 42, 53, 54, 78, 104 & 116 of the case diary also disclosed the involvement of this accused person in this case. The concerned Investigating Officer after completion of investigation has submitted charge-sheet against accused persons including Petitioner under Sections 318(4), 61(2) & 3(5) of BNS, under Section 25(1-B)a & 26(i)(ii)/35 of Arms Act, under Sections 63A & 65 of Copyright Act and under Section 103 of Trademark Act. This Court has already rejected the bail application of co-accused person vide

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Regular Bail Application No. 772 of 2026

Md. Taufik Ansari Vs State of Bihar

Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 136 of 2025

Order dated: 10.04.2026

BA No. 606 of 2026 on 19.03.2026. The Petitioner is in judicial custody since 25.12.2025.

Therefore, considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of the allegation, present status of this case, submission of charge-sheet against the Petitioner and others, rejecting of bail earlier of co-accused person by this Court as well as period of custody, I am of the view that the Petitioner appears direct involvement with co-accused persons in this illegal works which also results in huge recovery of money, arms, fake cigarettes etc. which is serious in nature and the case of Petitioner is not of better footing. Hence, the Petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the Petitioner namely **Md. Taufik Ansari is hereby Rejected.**

Dictated,

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Munger

Dated: 10.04.2026

Date of Order/Judgement	10.04.2026
Uploading Date	17.04.2026
Uploaded By	Neeraj Kumar Pandey (Steno)