

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 732/2026

Rahul Kumar and other Vs. The State of Bihar

Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026

04.04.2026

1. The present bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Rahul Kumar and 2. Rikky Kumar** both S/o- Sunil Ram, who are lying in judicial custody since 11.03.2026 in connection with Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026 u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 352(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-IV, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that the petitioner Rahul Kumar in inebriated state along with other family members abused and assaulted him as a result of which he suffered injuries. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has certified that previously no regular or anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against him.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. That nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. That both the parties are close relatives and they have preferred case and counter case against each other. That the petitioners are lying in judicial custody since 11.03.2026. Therefore the Ld. Counsel pleads that the petitioners be enlarged on bail.

4. The Ld. PP has opposed the bail application of the petitioners.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record, it appears that both the parties are close relatives and they have preferred case and counter case qua each other.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER

BAIL APPLICATION No.- 732/2026

Rahul Kumar and other Vs. The State of Bihar

Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026

From the injury report, it is apparent that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. That the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against them as per para 40 of the case diary. That the petitioners are lying in judicial custody since 11.03.2026.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and the circumstances, this bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court **with conditions that one of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners and that the petitioners shall file an undertaking that they will not tamper with any of the evidences or influence any of the witnesses of the case and that they shall remain physically present before the Ld. Trial Court on each and every date.**

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 04.04.2026