

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 424/2026

Sunil Ram and others Vs. The State of Bihar
Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026

04.04.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Sunil Ram** s/o- Late Banarsi Ram, **2. Meera Devi**, w/o- Sunil Ram and **3. Rinku Devi @ Nitu Devi**, w/o- Shailendra Ram who are apprehending arrest in connection with Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026 u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 352(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-IV, Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that the co-accused Rahul Kumar in inebriated state along with other family members abused and assaulted him as a result of which he suffered injuries. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against them.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. That nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. That both the parties are close relatives and they have preferred case and counter case against each other. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Ld. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 424/2026

Sunil Ram and others Vs. The State of Bihar

Tetiyabamber P.S. Case No. 35/2026

6. From perusal of the case record, it appears that both the parties are close relatives and they have preferred case and counter case qua each other. That the petitioner No. 1 is aged about 55 years and the petitioners No. 2 and 3 are women. From the injury report, it is apparent that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. That the petitioners have no criminal cases pending against them as per para 40 of the case diary.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 04.04.2026